Wyoming Department of Education 2300 Capitol Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Cheyenne, WY 82002

Contact: Alicia Vonberg Kerns, (307) 777-3679

WDE-644 Revised: Mar 2017 Due: May 26 2017 Expires: Jul 2017

## WYOMING EDUCATION TRUST FUND **GRANT APPLICATION**

## **SCORING GUIDE**

- All Wyoming Education Trust Fund grant applications will be scored using the following rubric: \*Application WILL NOT be accepted unless accompanied by: Cover page, assurances, and ALL necessary signatures
- \*\*A rating of 0 in any single category will exclude application from consideration

| CRITERIA  | 3 POINTS  | 2 POINTS   | 1 POINT   | 0 POINTS   |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1. ABSTRACT<br>(0-3 Points)<br>x1 =               | Abstract is complete and concise and is based on the application requirements. Target population and objectives are identified. | Abstract is based on the application requirements, but is incomplete. Target population and objectives are vaguely identified. | Abstract not completely based on application requirements. No target populations or objectives were identified. | Abstract, Target population, and objectives missing.                                   |
| 2. STATEMENT OF NEED (0-9 Points)x3 =             | Statement of need is clear, concise and based on valid data appropriate to the area of service.                                 | Statement of need is based on valid data appropriate to the area of service, but is not clear and concise.                     | Portions of the statement of need may not support the goals and objectives of the proposal.                     | There is no statement of need or<br>the statement is inappropriate to<br>the proposal. |
| 3. PROJECT GOALS (0-6 Points)x2 =                 | Project goals are described in a clear organized manner and are appropriate to the project.                                     | Project goals are described and are appropriate to the project, but are not clearly defined or organized.                      | Project goals are described, but are not appropriate or aligned to the project.                                 | Project goals are not described.   |
| <b>4. MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES</b> (0-12 Points)x4 = | All objectives are measurable and described in a clear, organized manner. Each relates to the project goals.                    | Some objectives are measurable, not all.<br>All or most relate to the project goals.   | Objectives described are not measurable. They may or may not relate to the project goals.                       | Measurable objectives are not included in the proposal.                                |
| <b>5. ACTIVITIES</b> (0-12 Points)x4 =            | The activities described are instrumental in reaching the planned objectives.   | Some, not all, of the activities described are instrumental in reaching the planned objectives.                                | The activities described do not relate to the planned objectives.   | There are no activities described.   |
| 6. TIME LINES (0-3 Points)x1 =                    | There is a realistic time line for reaching all goals and objectives within the grant period.                                   | There is a realistic time line for reaching most, though not all goals and objectives.   | A unrealistic and vague time line is identified.  | No time line is identified.  |
| 7. EVALUATION (0-9 Points)x3=                     | Effective evaluation is planned for each objective  | Effective evaluation is planned for some objectives, not all.  | Evaluation is planned for some or all objectives but does not seem effective.                                   | There is no evaluation planned for any of the objectives.                              |
| 8. DISSEMINATING RESULTS (0-6 Points)x2 =         | There is a clear, effective method described for disseminating results of the project to the public and to key players.         | There are clear plans to disseminate results of the project, but the method described leaves out key players.                  | There are plans to disseminate results of the project, but the methods seem ineffective.                        | There is no method described for disseminating results of the project.                 |

Wyoming Department of Education 2300 Capitol Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Cheyenne, WY 82002 Contact: Alicia Vonberg Kerns, (307) 777-3679

WDE-644 Revised: Mar 2017 Due: May 26 2017 Expires: Jul 2017

| CRITERIA   | 3 POINTS  | 2 POINTS  | 1 POINT   | 0 POINTS   |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| 9. BUDGET NARRATIVE/SUMMARY (0-3 Points)x1 =     | The budget narrative/summary is allowable by law and supports the project's goals and objectives and matches the accounting structure.        | The budget narrative/summary is allowable by law and supports the project's goals and objectives, but there are errors. | Some, not all, of the budget narrative/summary supports the project's goals and objectives. | The budget narrative/summary is missing.                 |
| 10. BUDGET ACCOUNTING STRUCTURE (0-3 Points)x1 = | The budget accounting structure is included, complete, accurate and matches the budget narrative.   | The budget accounting structure is included, but there are errors or does not align with the budget narrative.          | The budget accounting structure is incomplete and does not align with the budget narrative. | The budget accounting structure is missing.              |
| 11. INNOVATION & CREATIVITY (0-9 Points)x3 =     | There is a clear description of the innovative nature of the project that describes how it will assist students in meeting Wyoming Standards. | There is a clear description of the innovative nature of the project, but Wyoming Standards are not addressed.          | The description of innovation is incomplete or unclear.                                     | There is no discussion of innovation in the application. |
| POSSIBLE POINTS: 75                              |   |   |   |  |