

---

Application Printout

[Instructions](#)

---

## eGrant Management System

### Printed Copy of Application

Applicant: 1101 Laramie #1  
Application: 2010-2011 1003g School Improvement - A0 - Pioneer Park  
Project Period: 7/1/2010 - 6/30/2011  
Cycle: Amendment 1  
Date Generated: 11/8/2010 9:17:08 AM  
Generated By: 7700005carierd

---

**PURPOSE AND ELIGIBILITY**

---

**Purpose:** School Improvement Grants, authorized under section 1003(g) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I or ESEA), are grants, through State educational agencies (SEAs), to local educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that demonstrate the greatest need for the funds and the strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources in order to raise substantially the achievement of their students so as to enable the schools to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status. Under the final requirements, as amended through the interim final requirements published in the [Federal Register](#) in January 2010 (final requirements, attached as Appendix C), school improvement funds are to be focused on each States Tier I and Tier II schools. Tier I schools are a States persistently lowest-achieving Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and, if a State so chooses, certain Title I eligible elementary schools that are as low achieving as the States other Tier I schools. Tier II schools are a States persistently-lowest achieving secondary schools (attached as Appendix A) that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I, Part A funds and, if a State so chooses, certain additional Title I eligible secondary schools that are as low achieving as the States other Tier II schools or that have had a graduation rate below 60 percent over a number of years. An LEA may also use school improvement funds in Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that are not identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools and, if a State so chooses, certain additional Title I eligible schools (Tier III schools). In the Tier I and Tier II schools an LEA chooses to serve, the LEA must implement one of four school intervention models: turnaround model, restart model, school closure, or transformation model.

**Eligibility:** Eligibility for these funds will be based on the Tiered list developed from the WDE's Persistently Lowest-Achieving Schools definition. That list is housed on the WDE website and attached as Appendix C to this application.

The criteria is defined under the WDE's Persistently Lowest-Achieving Schools definition, see Appendix A for that definition.

**Legislation:** [American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009](#)  
[Public Law 107-110, the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001](#)

**Guidance:** [LEA and School Improvement](#)  
[1003\(g\) Guidance on School Improvement Grants](#)

---

**SCHOOL INTERVENTION MODELS**

---

As stated in the purpose of this grant, Tier I and II schools must implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of one (1) of the following USED School Intervention Models:

- Closure Model Close a school and enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving.
- Restart Model Convert a school or close and reopen it under a charter school operator, a charter management organization, or an education management organization that has been selected through a rigorous review process.
- Transformation Model Implement each of the following strategies: (1) replace the principal and take steps to increase teacher and school leader effectiveness; (2) institute comprehensive instructional reforms; (3) increase learning time and create community-oriented schools; and (4) provide operational flexibility and sustained support.
- Turnaround Model Replace the principal and rehire no more than 50 percent of the staff, and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student outcomes.
- General The definition and requirements are further defined in the attached final requirements (Appendix C) under section I, A, 2

Tier III schools are also required to select one of these intervention models, but may modify the requirements to suit the needs of the schools. If modified, the LEA/School will need to describe the modifications and the reasoning behind the changes.

In planning for which School Intervention Model a LEA/School will implement, the LEA/School will first need to work through the questions found in Appendix D of this application.

---

**APPLICATION PROCEDURES AND SUBMISSION**

---

**Application Procedure** A separate grant application must be submitted by the district for each school applying for Title I 1003 g School Improvement Funds. A comprehensive needs assessment must be conducted by the LEA/School applying for this grant. All data utilized will need to be submitted and in a format that is readable and understandable by WDE Grant Reviewers. Data should be submitted in easy to read tables, either in Word or Excel. Narratives explaining the data and the conclusions reached. If possible, charts and graphs should be used.

All sections must be completed - only exception is that an LEA/School will only need to fill out the Intervention/Action Plan for the School Intervention Model the LEA/School has selected.

Deadline for submission will be 5:00 p.m. M.T., July 12, 2010. This application will be submitted electronically via the WDE Grants Management System (GMS). Please contact the GMS Coordinator, Randall Butt, at 307-777-8739 to request access and establish login credentials for this grant application.

Please direct questions concerning this grant to:

Christine Steele,  
Wyoming Department of Education, Federal Programs Unit  
2300 Capitol Avenue, Hathaway Building, 1st Floor  
Cheyenne, WY 82002-0050  
307-777-6216  
csteel@educ.state.wy.us

---

**SELECTION AND PRIORITIZATION**

---

Review Criteria	Please see Appendix E for the rubric used for the evaluation of this grant.
Selection Process	A review panel comprised of WDE staff will review all applications to verify that all required items are addressed and that the requested allocation is appropriate. WDE will make the final decisions concerning appropriate expenditures and budgets. Please note that submission of a grant application is not a guarantee that an LEA will receive a grant award.
Prioritization	<p>Submission of a grant is not a guarantee that a LEA will receive an award funding is limited and the amounts LEAs may request per year are significant, so the WDE may have to prioritize what grants get funded.</p> <p>Priority funding will be given first to Tier I schools and then to Tier II schools. If further priority ranking is still needed, priority will be given to those schools that were identified for Tier I or Tier II based on their graduation rates. If further prioritization is needed, it will be based on the ranking of the schools within each Tiered list (Appendix B of this application).</p> <p>Priority funding will first be given to Tier III schools who are fully implementing all the required activities for one of the School Intervention Models as outlined by the final requirements. After that, priority will be given to those Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring status that were not identified in Tier I. Lastly, priority will be based on the ranking of the remaining Title I and Title I eligible schools within the Tier III list (Appendix B of this application).</p>

---

PROJECT PERIOD AND AWARD OF GRANTS

---

The Title I School Improvement grants will be awarded for a period of three (3) years starting on July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2013 (assuming the USED approves the waiver request to extend the period of availability of these funds beyond September 30, 2011). An extension to September 30, 2013 may be requested during the last year of the grant period, but a detailed reasoning must be given as to why these funds should be extended to that date. All funds must be drawn. If any funds are not encumbered by June 30, 2013, the LEA will revert any unencumbered funds to the WDE for reallocation unless the LEA has requested an extension to September 30, 2013. All encumbered funds must be drawn down and spent by December 31, 2013.

Grant amounts will not be less than \$50,000 or more than \$2 million per year for each participating school.

---

**SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUNDS (SUPPLEMENT-NOT SUPPLANT)**

---

Because these School Improvement funds will be used as a Schoolwide Title I program, the participating school is not required to select and provide supplemental services to specific children identified as in need of services. A school operating a schoolwide program does not have to: (1) show that Federal funds used with the school are paying for additional services that would not otherwise be provided; (2) demonstrate that Federal funds are used only for specific target populations; or (3) separately track Federal program funds once they reach the school. A schoolwide program school, however, must use Title I funds only to supplement the amount of funds that would, in the absence of the Title I funds, be made available from non-Federal sources for that school, including funds needed to provide services that are required by law for children with disabilities and children with limited English proficiency. [Section1114(a)(2)]

---

**EVALUATION OVERVIEW**

---

LEAs will be required to revise and update their grant application each year by June 30 during the Grant Renewal. At that time, the LEA/School will update the current application, strategies, timelines, and budgets. The LEA/School will also be required to upload data and analysis to support whether or not the school has met their goals and/or making progress on their leading indicators. A section will also be built into the application to capture and report required data for the USED as outlined by the final requirements (see Appendix C of this application).

Because PAWS data is not available until July, the LEA will be required to select an additional indicator to measure student achievement. This data should be from a source that is available so the LEA can submit that data by June 30. LEAs will be asked to submit PAWS data and analysis by October 1.

If the LEA has not completed the necessary updates, data reviews, and reporting, the LEA/School will not be able to request funds from this grant until those requirements have been met. Likewise, if PAWS data has not been uploaded and analyzed by October 1, the LEA/School will not be able to request funds until that data has been submitted.

Data will be reviewed by an independent reviewer hired by the WDE and evaluated as to whether or not the school has met their goals and/or is making progress on their leading indicators. Initial approval to continue with the grant will be given by the reviewer, with the assumption that PAWS data will be uploaded by October 1. The reviewer also can request any clarifications on the data submitted at this time. Upon review of all the data, the reviewer will report their findings to the WDE and give a recommendation as to whether to renew the grant, give conditional approval for an additional year based on meeting goals and/or making progress, or cancel the grant based on the LEA/School not meeting their goals and making progress, or for not fully and efficiently implementing the grant as is written.



GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

COMPREHENSIVE NEEDS ASSESSMENT

1. The school presents data from the listed sources (administrators, teachers, students, and parents).

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The needs are based on data collected from a variety of sources (administrators, teachers, students, and parents) with tables included.			
€	3 points - All of the listed sources are included in identifying the needs, and data are presented.	€	2 points - Three of the listed sources are included in identifying the needs, and data are presented.
€		€	1 point - Two of the listed sources are included in identifying the needs, and data are presented.
€		€	0 points - Data were collected from a single source, or source information is not presented.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. Data are based on an adequate sampling of individuals and groups.

- \* All sampling parameters must receive an Acceptable rating.
- \* If a Parent Focus Group is used in place of Parent Questionnaires, as long as this focus group meets minimal sample size, then the Parent parameter receives a rating of 'b'.
- \* Sample Frame: Focus Groups - Parents (Table 8)
- \* Minimum: 1 group of 6 participants
- \* Minimum: 3 groups of 8 participants (i.e., Grades K-5; Grades 6-8; Grades 9-12)

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The perceptual and observational needs assessment data are used based on an adequate sample of individuals and groups. (See Sampling Parameters for Acceptable values.)			
€	3 points - All of the samples sizes are acceptable.	€	2 points - All of the sample sizes are acceptable, except Parent Questionnaires which were replaced with Parent Focus Groups.
€		€	1 point - Some sample sizes are acceptable.
€		€	0 points - No sample size data were evident.
Rationale/Comments:			

3. Multiple data sources are present

- \* Cognitive Data (Student Performance): PAWS data (see embedded template for this data), MAP data, and data from another rigorous LEA-based assessment are included.
- \* Preferably, most current detailed data with examination of specific areas of weaknesses and a comparison to previous years' data (example 3 years).
- \* Cognitive data may also include:
  - \* Classroom and Unit Assessment
  - \* IEP Data Progress Reports
- \* Attitudinal Data: For an acceptable rating, questionnaires and faculty needs assessment, including summaries, must be presented.
- \* Behavioral Data:
  - \* A classroom observations summary must be presented for this item to be acceptable.
- \* At least one of the following items should be included: summary of attendance, graduation, dropout and/or information on suspensions and expulsions.
- \* Archival Data: Report cards (Parent and Principal), accountability reports (detailed and Subgroup component).

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The needs assessment must incorporate these four types of data: cognitive (student performance), attitudinal, behavioral, and archival.			
€	3 points - Student and school level data are provided from all four of the listed types of data, and data are presented.	€	2 points - Student and school level data are provided from three of the listed types of data, and data are presented.
€		€	1 point - Student and school level data are provided from two of the listed types of data, and data are presented.
€		€	0 points - Student and school level data are provided from a single type, or no data are presented.
Rationale/Comments:			

GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

COMPREHENSIVE NEEDS ASSESSMENT

4. Data are accurately interpreted to identify strengths and weaknesses.

- \* Is the information presented an accurate reflection of the data? Has the school missed pertinent information?
- \* The STRENGTHS should be derived from the strengths in the Accountability Data. Review all summary sheets to determine the strengths.
- \* The WEAKNESSES should be derived from the weaknesses in the Accountability Data. Analyze the Reports, Summaries, Subgroup Percent Proficient, DRA, DIBELS, PAWS, PAWS Ait MAP, LEA Assessments (DRA, DIBELS, etc...), attendance, graduation and dropout rates to determine the weaknesses.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The needs assessment data are accurately interpreted to identify strengths and weaknesses.			
€	3 points - All of the strengths and weaknesses are based on an accurate interpretation of the data.	€	2 points - Most of the strengths and weaknesses are based on an accurate interpretation of the data.
€		€	1 point - Few of the strengths and weaknesses are based on an accurate interpretation of the data.
€		€	0 points - Strengths or weaknesses are not based on an accurate interpretation of the data.
Rationale/Comments:			

5. Contributing factors relate to the strengths and weaknesses.

- \* The contributing factors must be listed.
- \* Look for things that are most directly related to student learning and that the school has the most control over (not parental involvement, but something like the 'Taught' Curriculum).
- \* May have multiple factors for one strength/weakness. For example, if the weakness is in the reading comprehension, possible contributing factors may be:
  - (a) Teacher's lack of effective instructional strategies, such as High Order Thinking Skills.
  - (b) Lack of effective alignment of taught curriculum to standards and Grade Level Expectations.
  - (c) Lack of effective instructional leadership.
  - (d) Lack of effective time management, a schoolwide positive behavior support system, and/or an attendance policy.
  - (e) Failure to implement effective accommodations and modifications.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The contributing factors related to the strengths and weaknesses are based on an accurate interpretation of the data.			
€	3 points - All contributing factors related to the strengths and weaknesses are based on an accurate interpretation of the data.	€	2 points - Most contributing factors related to the strengths and weaknesses are based on an accurate interpretation of the data.
€		€	1 point - Few contributing factors related to the strengths and weaknesses are based on an accurate interpretation of the data.
€		€	0 points - Contributing factors are not related to the strengths and weaknesses are based on an accurate interpretation of the data.
Rationale/Comments:			

GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

INTERVENTION MODELS

1. Selected Intervention Model (if correctly implemented) directly and positively influence the contributing factors to the weaknesses found.  
 \* If the contributing factors are not identified, this item is to be rated not acceptable.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Interventions directly address contributing factors of strengths and weaknesses.			
€	2 points - Intervention directly addresses contributing factors of strengths and weaknesses.	€	0 points - Intervention does not address contributing factors of strengths and weaknesses.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. Interventions are implemented with available or obtainable fiscal and human resources.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Interventions can be implemented with available or obtainable fiscal and human resources.			
€	2 points - Intervention can be implemented with available or obtainable resources.	€	0 points - The intervention can't be implemented with available or obtainable resources.
Rationale/Comments:			

INTERVENTION MODELS - REQUIRED ELEMENTS (Tier I and II Schools Only)

€ NOT APPLICABLE - Tier III School

1. All Required elements are present.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
All required elements as outlined in the final requirements are present for the Intervention Model selected.			
€	2 points - All required elements are present.	€	0 points - One or more required elements are missing.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. For the Restart Model, the LEA has a rigorous review process to select a CSO, CMO, or EMO.

€ NOT APPLICABLE for the intervention selected (Closure, Transformation, or Turnaround Model)

- \* The LEA has provided detail as to how they will contact and recruit providers.
- \* The LEA has provided enough detail to show how they will conduct a rigorous review process of all providers.
- \* The LEA has taken into consideration an applicant's team, track record, instructional program, model's theory of action and sustainability.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
All required elements as outline in the final requirements are present for the Intervention Model selected.			
€	2 Points - LEA has a rigorous review process in place.	€	0 Points - LEA does not have a rigorous review process in place.
Rationale/Comments:			

GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

ACTION PLAN - ACTIVITIES

1. The Action Plan activities are written in a logical, sequential order.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The action plan has a logical sequence of events to reach Desired Outcomes.			
€	3 points - All of the events are in logical order.	€	2 points - Most of the events are in logical order.
€		€	1 point - Few of the events are in logical order.
€		€	0 points - None of the events are in logical order.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. The action plan lists the person(s) responsible for the activities.

- \* Administrators, teachers, and others share in responsibility.
- \* Position titles of the responsible person(s) must be listed.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The action plan clearly identifies who will be responsible for implementing the activity.			
€	3 points - All activities clearly indicate which staff and/or administrators will be responsible for implementing the activity.	€	2 points - Most activities clearly state which staff and/or administrators will be responsible.
€		€	1 point - Few activities clearly state who will be responsible, or only one person is responsible for all activities.
€		€	0 points - There is no link between the goals and student learning and the directions for school improvement.
Rationale/Comments:			

3. Activities are clearly described.

- \* Describe what and how the actual activity will be performed by the staff, not a random list. Integrate such areas as literacy and numeracy, professional development, transition, family and community involvement, behavior, and technology.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The action plan clearly states how each activity will be performed.			
€	3 points - It is evident how each activity will be performed.	€	2 points - It is evident how most activities will be performed.
€		€	1 point - There is little evidence of how the activities will be performed.
€		€	0 points - There is no evidence of how the activities will be performed.
Rationale/Comments:			

4. Timelines and dates for activities are specific.

- \* Broad timelines, such as 'August through May', are not sufficient. Use more specific terms, such as monthly, bimonthly, every 2nd Tuesday of the month, weekly, etc.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
A responsible timeline is assigned to each activity.			
€	3 Points - All activities include specific dates.	€	2 Points - Most activities include specific dates.
€		€	1 Point - Few activities include specific dates.
€		€	0 Points - None of the activities include specific dates.
Rationale/Comments:			

GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

ACTION PLAN - PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

€ Professional Development is NOT APPLICABLE for the intervention selected (Closure or Restart Model)

1. Professional Development activities describe the purpose, type and who will be involved.

\* All personnel (teachers, administrators, counselors, paraprofessionals, and other staff) should be included in appropriate Professional Development opportunities. The use of 'instructional staff' or 'faculty' in the description is too general to determine which groups of personnel are represented.

\* Personnel must be identified by subgroups (teachers, administrators, counselors, paraprofessionals, support staff, etc).

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Professional Development identifies the purpose of the activities, how the activities will take place, and who will be involved.			
€	3 points - Purpose, procedures, and participants are specified for all activities.	€	2 points - Purpose, procedures, and participants are specified for most activities.
€		€	1 point - Purpose, procedures, and participants are specified for few activities.
€		€	0 points - Purpose, procedures, and participants are specified for none of the activities.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. Job-embedded Professional Development provides teachers time to consult together about common instructional problems, engage in joint curriculum planning, share knowledge, observe skills, conduct action research, coach one another, and obtain new ideas and approaches from colleagues during the course of the work day.

Job-embedded Professional Development has three major attributes:

\* Relevance - Time is created for the PD to occur as part of the normal work routine.

\* Feedback - Sustained support and attention through mentoring, dialog, and study groups.

\* Transfer of Practice - Self-reflection, action, research, peer coaching or observations, and group problem solving.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Professional Development is job-embedded and occurs at least monthly.			
€	3 points - Weekly/Bi-weekly job-embedded professional development activities are presented.	€	2 points - At least monthly job-embedded professional development activities are presented.
€		€	1 point - Professional development activities on a monthly basis are presented, but they are not job-embedded.
€		€	0 points - Professional development activities are not frequent or job-embedded.
Rationale/Comments:			

3. Follow-up and support are scheduled activities.

\* Look for follow-up and support in the activities and formative evaluation columns with an adequate description.

\* Example of follow-up/support: Trainers scheduled to return after initial training to provide additional assistance in implementation; principal, instructional coaches, or Distinguished Educator modeling lessons, practice with feedback, mentoring, videotape analysis, and study groups.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Follow-up/support is an actual scheduled activity and is consistent.			
€	3 points - All activities include scheduled follow-up/support.	€	2 points - At least 75% of the activities include scheduled follow-up/support.
€		€	1 point - Less than 75% of the activities include scheduled follow-up/support.
€		€	0 points - Activities do not include scheduled follow-up/support.
Rationale/Comments:			

GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

ACTION PLAN - FAMILY AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Family and Community Involvement is NOT APPLICABLE for the intervention selected (Closure or Restart Model)

1. Family and community involvement activities are clearly linked to the objectives through the strategies.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Family involvement activities are clearly linked to the identified objectives.			
€	3 points - All activities are clearly linked to the identified objectives.	€	2 points - At least 75% of activities are clearly linked to the identified objectives.
€		€	1 point - At least 50% of activities are clearly linked to the identified objectives.
€		€	0 points - Activities are not clearly linked to the identified objectives.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. Activities pertaining to content/training involve family members.

\* Are a sufficient number of content/training activities included to involve family members in student learning daily or weekly, or only one time a semester?

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Activities that encourage family members to participate in student learning are included.			
€	3 points - Monthly activities that encourage family members to participate in student learning are included.	€	2 points - Quarterly activities that encourage family members to participate in student learning are included.
€		€	1 point - Activities once a semester that encourage family members to participate in student learning are included.
€		€	0 points - No activities encourage family members to participate in student learning.
Rationale/Comments:			

ACTION PLAN - MODIFYING POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Modifying Policies and Practices is NOT APPLICABLE for the intervention selected (Closure or Restart Model)

1. The school is committed to modifying existing practices and policies so interventions can be fully and effectively implemented.

\* Are the activities selected new and innovative, or are the practices and activities that are already occurring applicable activities?

\* School is clearly moving to reform existing policy and practices.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The school is committed to modifying existing practices and policies so interventions can be fully and effectively implemented.			
€	3 points - Activities are new and innovative; school is moving to reform the school.	€	2 points - Most activities are new and innovative; school is moving to reform the school.
€		€	1 point - Few activities are new and innovative; school is moving to reform the school.
€		€	0 points - Activities are not new and innovative; school is not moving to reform the school.
Rationale/Comments:			

GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

ACTION PLAN - FUNDING

1. Monetary resources are allocated and aligned to reach identified objectives.

- \* Is funding provided for all applicable activities? Details in the action plan should indicate how expenses are to be utilized.
- \* Are the monies being allocated to school improvement?
- \* Are the monetary resources allocated to the strategies sufficient to make a difference?

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Monetary resources are allocated in a manner that will facilitate achieving the identified objectives.			
€	3 points - Monetary resources are clearly targeted to reach the identified objectives.	€	2 points - Most monetary resources are clearly targeted to reach the identified objectives.
€		€	1 point - Few monetary resources are clearly targeted to reach the identified objectives.
€		€	0 points - Monetary resources are not targeted to reach the identified objectives.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. Sufficient time is allocated to achieve the objectives.

- \* Determine if time is allocated for professional development (i.e., common planning periods, extended school day for professional development, etc.)
- \* Identify any changes made to improve time on task (i.e., change of school day schedule, classroom management issues, etc.)

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Time is allocated in a manner that will facilitate achieving the objectives.			
€	3 points - Time allocations are clearly targeted to reach the identified objectives.	€	2 points - Most time allocations are targeted to reach the identified objectives.
€		€	1 point - Few time allocations are targeted to reach the identified objectives.
€		€	0 points - Time allocations are not targeted to reach the identified objectives.
Rationale/Comments:			

3. Human resources are allocated to include a variety of people responsible for the activities.

- \* Share responsibility among teachers, principals, counselors, and parents.
- \* Utilize internal and external human resources.
- \* Use teaching staff for coaching and mentoring.
- \* Collaborate with the state and community personnel and agencies.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Human resources are allocated in a manner that will facilitate the objectives.			
€	3 points - Human resources are clearly targeted to reach the identified objectives.	€	2 points - Most human resources are clearly targeted to reach the identified objectives.
€		€	1 point - Few human resources are clearly targeted to reach the identified objectives.
€		€	0 points - Human resources are not clearly targeted to reach the identified objectives.
Rationale/Comments:			

GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

PROCEDURES FOR EVALUATING INDICATORS OF IMPLEMENTATION

1. The formative (short term) evaluation procedures to monitor and assess the indicators of implementation for all strategies include at least three of the four of the following criteria:

- (a) What data instrument will be used to collect information and what kind of feedback will be given?
- (b) What will be measured or assessed, and how will this information be used?
- (c) Who will conduct the evaluation?
- (d) How often (frequency)?

\* In order for sign-in sheets and workshop evaluations to be acceptable, a description of how they will be used to access the effectiveness and implementation of the activity must be presented.

\* These evaluation procedures provide documentation of degree of implementation.

\* These evaluation procedures will provide information to determine if the activities are actually implemented in the classroom.

Example:

Classroom observations conducted by the principal and the staff developer will assess the degree of implementation of Higher Order Thinking Skills each quarter and will include feedback, follow-up and support.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Procedures are provided to monitor and assess the indicators of implementation for all strategies set forth in the action plan.			
€	3 points - Clear procedures are provided and assess the level of implementation of indicators for all strategies.	€	2 points - Clear procedures are provided and assess the level of implementation of indicators for most strategies.
€		€	1 point - Unclear procedures are provided and assess the level of implementation of few activities, or some procedures are unclear.
€		€	0 points - Clear procedures are not provided to evaluate the implementation of indicators for strategies.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. The summative (long-term) evaluation procedures seek to determine if the goals and objectives have been attained.

\* Will the summative evaluation adequately convey if the school is improving?

\* The summative evaluation should include the applicable testing instruments with descriptions of how they will be used to determine if the goals and objectives are attained.

\* This evaluation should include a comparison and/or analysis test data but may also include other types of assessment and/or qualitative data.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Valid procedures are provided to examine the degree to which the identified goals and objectives have been attained.			
€	3 points - Valid procedures are provided to examine the degree to which the goals and objectives have been attained.	€	2 points - Procedures are presented to determine whether the goals and objectives have been attained.
€		€	1 point - Vague or incomplete procedures are presented to determine whether the goals and objectives have been attained.
€		€	0 points - Valid procedures are not presented to determine whether the goals and objectives have been attained.
Rationale/Comments:			

IMPLEMENTATION INDICATOR (GOALS)

1. Goals are directly linked to student learning.

\* Look at the overall clarity and presentation of the goals.

\* If goals are accomplished, will the school improve academically?

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The goals are linked to student learning and clearly state the direction of school improvement.			
€	3 points - The goals are clearly linked to student learning and state the direction for school improvement.	€	2 points - The goals are linked to student learning and state the direction for school improvement in a relatively clear manner.
€		€	1 point - The link between the goals and student learning and school improvement is unclear or weak.
€		€	0 points - There is no link between the goals and student learning and the directions for school improvement.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. Goals address the weaknesses with top priority being in Academic Achievement.

\* The goals should be derived from data from the following sources: PAWS, MAP, Attendance and/or Dropout Graduation Rate, DRA, DIBELS, Pre-K/Kindergarten Screening Tests, or other standardized teacher - made unit assessments.

\* Should limit goals to one (1) or two (2).

\* Exception: If the goals are stated in measureable terms, they must use accurate measures to receive a rating no higher than a 'b'.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The goals accurately address the schools weaknesses in Academic Achievement.			
€	3 Points - All weaknesses are clearly addressed.	€	2 Points - Most weaknesses are addressed.
€		€	1 Point - It indirectly refers to learning for all students.
€		€	0 Points - It does not directly or indirectly refer to learning for all students.
Rationale/Comments:			



GRANT EVALUATION RUBRIC

DESIRED OUTCOMES (OBJECTIVES)

1. Objectives presented are accurate and verifiable in relation to growth.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The objectives have measureable (verifiable) outcomes.			
€	3 points - All of the objectives can be verified/measured.	€	2 points - Most of the objectives can be verified/measured.
€		€	1 point - Few of the objectives can be verified/measured.
€		€	0 points - None of the objectives can be verified/measured.
Rationale/Comments:			

2. Each objective is clearly linked to a specified goal.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
The perceptual and observational needs assessment data are used based on an adequate sample of individuals and groups. (See Sampling Parameters for Acceptable values.)			
€	3 points - All of the samples sizes are acceptable.	€	2 points - All of the sample sizes are acceptable, except Parent Questionnaires which were replaced with Parent Focus Groups.
€		€	1 point - Some sample sizes are acceptable.
€		€	0 points - No sample size data were evident.
Rationale/Comments:			

BUDGET

3. Budget is set, matched to expenditures, sufficient for all activities associated with the intervention model selected, and is for the whole life of the grant cycle.

Acceptable		Not Acceptable	
Budget accurate and fiscally responsible.			
€	3 points - All expenditures are adequately described, allowable, and aligned with the project goals and objectives over the whole grant cycle.	€	2 points - Most expenditures are adequately described, allowable, and aligned with the project goals and objectives over the whole grant cycle.
€		€	1 point - Most expenditures are adequately described, allowable, and aligned with the project goals and objectives.
€		€	0 points - There is little or no alignment of the expenditures with the project activities.
Rationale/Comments:			

LEA and SCHOOL INFORMATION

A. LEA Information

LEA Name\*:  NCES ID Number\*:

Name and Title of LEA Contact for Grant Application:

Last Name\*:  First Name\*:  Middle Initial:

Address1\*:  Telephone Number\*:

Address2:

City\*:  Zip\* +4:

Email Address\*:

B. School Information

School Name\*:  NCES ID Number\*:

School Principal - Last Name \*:  First Name\*:  Middle Initial:

Address1\*:  Telephone Number\*:

Address2:

City\*:  Zip\* +4:

Email Address\*:

Grade Span\*:  Poverty Rate\*:  Current Graduation Rate\*:

Title I Status

- Title I Schoolwide School
- Title I Targeted Assistance School
- Title I Eligible School (please describe how you are eligible)

School Improvement Status:

- N/A Made AYP
- Warning Year - missed AYP, but not yet on School Improvement
- Year 1
- Year 2
- Year 3
- Year 4
- Year 5
- Year 6 and higher

Tier:

- Tier I
- Tier II
- Tier III

---

WAI VER REQUEST

---

The Wyoming Department of Education has requested the below waivers of requirements applicable to the Title I 1003 g School Improvement Application. It is assumed that an LEA completing this application will implement all of the requested waivers. If an LEA does not wish to implement one of these waivers, it must indicate which one of those waivers it does not intend to implement and why.

Does the applicant wish to utilize these waivers if granted to the WDE?  Yes  No

## PAWS NARRATIVE

Provide a brief description of your school, your attendance area, and your community: ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Pioneer Park is located in west Cheyenne. The school has a unique combination of programs serving a variety of populations. The regular education school serves a walk-in population. Students who are within the neighborhood participate in Title I school wide projects with additional funding provided by the federal government. Also housed in the school is the district gifted and talented program which is a K - 6 high potential school for qualified students from throughout the district. The Therapeutic Learning Center serves behaviorally challenged students from around the district. Pioneer Park is in the Central Triad in the district and frequently takes students from other schools when they are at capacity.

List your school and LEA mission statement how do they align? ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

The mission of Laramie County School District #1 is: In cooperation with students, parents, staff and the community, is to guarantee a high quality education in a safe and orderly environment for all students, inspiring them to become life long learners and responsible productive citizens. The mission of Pioneer Park is: As a collaborative learning community, we will guide our diverse population in an environment that encourages life-long growth and responsibility. Both mission align to meet the needs of individual students to help to ensure success in school and in life.

Describe how the comprehensive needs assessment was conducted in an inclusive manner so it reaches all members of the school community (including regular education, special education, gifted and talented, migrant, students with limited English proficiency, etc. as well as low-achieving students), paying particular attention to the needs of educationally disadvantaged children: ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

The comprehensive needs assessment was conducted by the principal and a representative group of teachers. The intent will be to share the data with all staff and parents at the start of the school year. Constraints by the timing of the grant prevented wide spread involvement of all staff.

Summarize (using data) the actual results of your needs assessment: ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

In the area of Language Arts the total group scored below the target score on PAWS set by the Wyoming Department of Education. The target was set at 53.6 and the total group scored at 47.9%. The prior year score was 48.7%. The WDE has recommended to schools not to use the 2007 data feeling it was not valid. In looking at sub groups the White sub group scored highest at 50.5% however they still fell below the target. The only other ethnicity that had a large enough n size was Hispanic with a total percent proficient and above at 43.1%. Low ses students were at 35%. Math shows the total group at 61.1% proficient and above as compared to the target score of 49.2%. The white sub group exceeded the target with 67.9% proficient or above. The Hispanic and ses sub group also exceeded the target with a percent proficient and above of 53.1% for Hispanic and 52.5% for ses. Based on the review of PAWS data the focus of this grant is on Language Arts and school climate and student behavior.

Summarize the strengths and weaknesses of the current program for improving the education of low-achieving students:

Strengths: ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

The staff at Pioneer Park care about their students and work to meet their needs at all times. Pioneer Park has a school wide approach to Title 1 which provides for flexibility in the meeting of students needs. Pioneer Park serves a variety of needs for the attendance area and the district. In addition to the regular education program Pioneer Park also has programs to help meet the needs of the gifted and talented population in the district as well as students with severe emotional disabilities. The school has also been involved in the school improvement effort for several years. With the current change in leadership the school is moving to a consistent and intensive focus towards the improvement of instruction and student learning. This strength will help carry the school forward to meeting the needs of the students. At the end of the current year staffing adjustments have been made to better serve the needs of the students.

Weaknesses: ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Pioneer Park has been involved in the school improvement process for several years however the interventions have not moved the school forward towards improving student achievement. There is a need for intensive staff development in the area of language arts. In addition to the training, coaching will need to be implemented with all staff to ensure implementation with Quality, Fidelity, Intensity and Consistency. Several school wide trainings have been offered in the past however, for the most part they were short trainings with limited follow up. This has created a lack of intense focus on the implementation of new teacher strategies. Pioneer Park also needs to focus on a comprehensive approach to teaching and dealing with student behavior. There is a need for a Bullying program along with the teaching of appropriate behaviors. Student discipline takes time away from instruction in the classroom and instructional leadership at the building level. There is also a need to identify strategies and develop an understanding in how to work with students of poverty.

As a result of the comprehensive needs assessment, what are the specific priority need areas for the school? (Please list in priority order 1, 2, 3, etc.) ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

1. The first priority is to provide all staff with high quality job embedded staff development. A primary focus of the grant is to train and coach staff to change current instructional strategies to research based teaching that provides teachers tools to reach each student. 2. The second priority is to provide a consistent approach to teaching and monitoring student behavior. Data shows that student behavior does prevent teachers from teaching and students from learning. The time required to work with students in this area also prevent the principal from taking on the role of instructional leader the majority of the time. 3. The third goal is to build a culture of collective efficacy with all staff.

What School Intervention Model will the school implement based on the comprehensive needs assessment? (This should be directly related to the priority need areas listed above): ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Transformation Model

Please explain how the LEA has the capacity to use these School Improvement Funds to provide adequate resources and related support to the school in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected: ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Laramie County School District #1 is committed to ensuring all students receive a high quality education. The Senior Leadership Team will work with the staff at Triumph to assist them in a variety of ways. A member of the SLT will meet with the principal on a regular basis and periodically meet with the school improvement team to determine their needs and support that needs to be provided by the district. The principal will have defined autonomy to make changes and implement the strategies needed for all Pioneer Park students to be successful. In addition the district is willing to commit additional coaching time and time with content area coordinators to ensure district curriculum is delivery with quality, fidelity, intensity and consistency.

Explain how implementing this model will meet the needs of all the students in your school: ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

The model selected will provide a focus on job embedded staff development that will reshape instruction for every classroom at Pioneer Park Elementary. Through the strategies learned in working with the thinking skills model, Cafe, and other materials teachers will learn research based best practice to facilitate high levels of student learning. The focus on a consistent approach to student behavior and staff expectations will provide more time for instruction and learning. Currently data shows that student behavior does impact learning time for students and teaching time for teachers. The school principal is frequently dealing with behavior issues which impacts time being an instructional leader. The addition of an assistant will provide the principal time to work directly with teachers, conduct frequent classroom walkthroughs and build high levels of parent support.

Please give a summary of input from relevant stakeholder group regarding the selection and implementation of a School Intervention Model (agendas, minutes, and sign-in sheets should be available from the LEA for review if needed): ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Based on limited time from the release of the grant and the end of the school year it was difficult to work with a large group to create the grant. The principal did work with a representative group of staff members and a parent representative to develop the plan. If approved the plan will be presented to all staff at the beginning of the school year along with parents. Opportunity for input and participation in the direction of the school will be included during the PDSA cycles used to monitor and evaluate the progress of the implementation plan.

ASSESSMENT DATA

---

Based on the reason(s) that this building is applying, you should upload 2009 PAWS data, Graduation Rate Data, or both.

2009 PAWS Data Upload

 

Files Uploaded: [Copy of PIO\\_Spring\\_2010\\_MAP.xlsx](#)  
[Pioneer Park AYP LA.xls](#)  
[Pioneer Park AYP Math.xls](#)  
[Pioneer Park for 2008-2009.xls](#)

2009 Graduation Rate Data Upload

 

Files Uploaded: Upload directory does not exist. Cannot view uploaded files.

## LEA CAPACITY

If the LEA has Tier I schools and is applying to serve schools in other Tiers or only one Tier I school, the LEA must explain, in detail, why it lacks the capacity to serve each Tier I school.

If an LEA has one or more Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III Schools	In order to get 1003 g SI Funds, the LEA must commit to serve Each Tier I school it has capacity to serve; at a minimum, at least one Tier I school OR at least one Tier II school
Tier I and Tier II schools, but no Tier III schools	Each Tier I school it has capacity to serve; at a minimum, at least one Tier I school OR at least one Tier II school
Tier I and III schools, but no Tier II schools	Each Tier I school it has capacity to serve; at a minimum, at least one Tier I school
Tier II and Tier III schools, but no Tier I schools	The LEA has the option to commit to serve as many Tier II and Tier III schools as it wishes
Tier I Schools only	Each Tier I school it has capacity to serve
Tier II Schools only	The LEA has the option to commit to serve as many Tier II schools as it wishes
Tier III Schools only	The LEA has the option to commit to serve as many Tier III schools as it wishes

Does your LEA have any Tier I Schools?

Yes  No

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Program List/Funding: (including during- and after-school programs)	Currently Using	No. of Years	Proposed Program	Deleted Program
Response to Intervention - IDEA and/or Title I Funds	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Professional Learning Communities	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="text" value="3"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Bridges Grant (either Extended Day or Year)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="text" value="8"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pre-School Program(s)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="text" value="3"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Title I School Improvement Funds	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Title I-D, Subpart A	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Title II-A Teacher/Leader Quality Partnership	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Title II-B - Math/Science Partnership	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Title II-D Enhancing Education Through Technology Grant	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Title III Services to English Language Learners	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
McKinney-Vento Homeless Grant	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="text" value="8"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
GEAR-UP	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other: <input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other: <input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other: <input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other: <input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

List Supplemental Educational Services provided for your students (Title I schools in SI 2 and above): ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

List the Distance Learning (i.e., web-based, satellite) courses provided for your students: ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

School Partnerships (Type the name of each partner in the space provided)

- University
- Technical Institute
- Feeder School(s)
- Community
- Business/Industry
- Private Grants
- Other

Please give a detailed explanation as to how the strategies selected will utilize the existing programs, funding sources, and partnerships listed above: ([count] of 5000 maximum characters used)

Will these funding sources and partnerships be available when the funding for this grant has ended? ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

REPORTING

For each school receiving 1003 g School Improvement Funds, the LEA will need to send the following data to the WDE (the means for collecting this data has not yet been determined by the WDE):

Metric	Currently Collected	New Requirement
<b>School Data</b>		
LEA Name	X	
NCES ID #	X	
School Name	X	
NCES ID #	X	
Intervention Used		X
Which AYP Targets Met and Missed	X	
School Improvement Status	X	
Number of Minutes within School Year		X
<b>Student Outcome/Academic Progress Data</b>		
Percentage of students at or above each proficiency level on State assessments in reading/language arts and mathematics (e.g., Basic, Proficient, Advanced), by grade and by student subgroup	X	
Student participation rate on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by student subgroup	X	
Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the all students group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup		X
Percentage of limited English proficient students who attain English language proficiency	X	
Graduation rate	X	
Dropout rate	X	
Student attendance rate	X	
Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes		X (HS Only)
College enrollment rates		X (HS Only)
<b>Student Connection and School Climate</b>		
Discipline incidents	X	
Truants	X	
<b>Talent</b>		
Distribution of teachers by performance level on LEA's teacher evaluation system		X
Teacher attendance rate		X



---

**INTERVENTIONS / ACTION PLAN - Overview**

---

A school in Tier I or Tier II must select one of the school intervention models and implement, fully and effectively, the required activities for that model. Select the intervention model that will be used:

School Closure Model

School Restart Model

School Turnaround Model

School Transformation Model

A Tier III school must also select one of the intervention models, but may modify the required activities for that model. Schools in Tier III must give an explanation as to the reasoning to the modification. Priority funding will be given to Tier III schools who fully implement all the required activities for one of the school intervention models.

Full implementation must occur in the 2010-2011 school year.

Please Note: An LEA's budget for each year may not exceed \$2,000,000.

---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL CLOSURE MODEL - Implementation Indicator

---

The School Closure Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Closure Model pages are not required to be completed.

---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL CLOSURE MODEL - Activities/Action Plan

---

The School Closure Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Closure Model pages are not required to be completed.

---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL CLOSURE MODEL - Intervention Questions

---

The School Closure Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Closure Model pages are not required to be completed.

---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL RESTART MODEL - Implementation Indicator

---

The School Restart Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Restart Model pages are not required to be completed.

---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL RESTART MODEL - Activities/Action Plan

---

The School Restart Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Restart Model pages are not required to be completed.

---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL RESTART MODEL - Intervention Questions

---

The School Restart Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Restart Model pages are not required to be completed.

---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL TURNAROUND MODEL - Implementation Indicator

---

The School Turnaround Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Turnaround Model pages are not required to be completed.



---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL TURNAROUND MODEL - Activities/Action Plan

---

The School Turnaround Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Turnaround Model pages are not required to be completed.

---

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL TURNAROUND MODEL - Intervention Questions

---

The School Turnaround Model was not selected on the Interventions Overview page, therefore this page and all of the other School Turnaround Model pages are not required to be completed.

---

**INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL TRANSFORMATION MODEL - Implementation Indicator**

---

Implementation Indicator/Goal (must include student achievement on PAWS (both reading/language arts and math) in order to monitor the schools progress):

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

All students and sub groups will meet or exceed the AYP target scores on the Proficiency Assessment for Wyoming Students as set by the Wyoming Department of Education and approved under the Wyoming accountability workbook. In addition student referrals for behavior will be reduced by a minimum of ten percent each year and 60% of all students will reach their Measurement of Academic Progress growth target as determined by the MAP assessment norm group. The above goals will be met through the following interventions which have been identified by a building level committee made up of the principal and staff. Job embedded staff development through speakers who will help teachers develop collective efficacy and strategies to create a positive learning climate for all staff and students. Book studies will be held to develop knowledge of current instructional strategies to meet the needs of at risk learners. Develop a deep understanding of school reform, change, social reform and literacy. Implementation of a research based program designed to increase time on task, reduce student behavior infractions, increase seat time, improved peer relations that will support collaborative learning and increase attendance. Staff will also be trained in teaching students thinking strategies that will be used in all content areas. Increase parent support for students and parents and developing an understanding of poverty and the impact it has on student learning.

Desired Outcomes (Objectives):

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

The total school population and all subgroups will meet or exceed the AYP indicator in both the Language Arts and Math areas. Total discipline referrals will be reduced by a minimum of ten percent each year. 80% of all students in grades K - 6 will meet their RIT performance growth targets on MAP each year. School level staff will develop a strong sense of collective efficacy. School staff will use research based best practices to deliver high quality instruction on a daily basis.

Procedures for Evaluating Implementation Indicators:

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Data from PAWS will be used to determine overall progress on all indicators. This will include the total group and all disaggregated sub groups. Office referrals will be tracked quarterly to monitor progress in behavior improvement to ensure time in class for instruction. The Measurement of Academic Progress will be administered three times each year, Fall, Winter and Spring to monitor progress on growth targets for each student.

INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL TRANSFORMATION MODEL - Activities/Action Plan

Activities and Action Plan: Full implementation must occur in the 2010-2011 school year.

Teachers and Leaders

Please list any and all activities/cost associated with principal replacement, implementation of a new staff evaluation system, identify/reward staff, and implementation of recruitment/placement/retention strategies.

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

The principal of Pioneer Park was replaced on the new principal has been on staff for two years.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
John Lyttle	07/01/2008	Replace principal at Pioneer Park - Completed	08/01/2018	0	0	0

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

An assistant principal will be hired to support the implementation of the school improvement plan, monitoring of instruction and working with students in discipline situations. The assistant principal will free the building principal to serve as the instruction leader of the school with frequent visit class rooms, manage change, provide training and support to staff and work with parents and other agencies to actively support the school and student learning. The principal and assistant principal will conduct frequent classroom walk throughs and develop a clear base line of current instructional practices and report growth and implementation of new strategies on a regular basis.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
April Gates	08/01/2010	Position posted upon grant approval 8/1/10 Hire assistant principal 8/15/10 Provide leadership training and walk through training to assistant principal by 12/1/10 Monitoring implementation of behavior program and instructional strategies will be ongoing.	06/07/2013	104,000	107,000	110,000

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost			
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013	
Total Cost By Year					104,000	107,000	110,000

Instructional and Support Strategies

Please list any and all activities/cost associated with the selection/implementation of an student needs based instruction model, providing job-embedded professional development designed to build the capacity/support of school staff, and to ensure continued use of data to inform/differentiate instruction.

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Staff will complete and apply strategies from Second Steps. This will result in an increased time on task, decrease behavioral referrals and increased seat time. In addition, application of Second Steps will lead to improved peer relations, collaborative student learning, increase attendance and provide a systematic approach to learning positive school behavior. The estimated cost includes all materials and trainings with follow up needed to implement the program.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
Terri Peden	08/01/2010	Training on site for second steps completed by 10/1/10 Kits provided to teachers on 9/1/10 Train the Trainer Training for five staff members 5/11/10 Purchase Steps to Respect Bully Proofing Program 9/1/10 Purchase Talking About Touching - Personal Safety 9/1/12 Training for Steps to Respect - Bully Proofing 9/1/10	05/01/2013	16,350	3,500	2,650

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Teachers will be trained by the Public Education and Business Coalition in the teaching of thinking strategies to improve student understanding and learning in all content areas. The strategies will teach and require students to think at higher cognitive levels to develop a deep understanding when accessing print and other forms of media. The process will include the use of consultants and coaches to help teachers grow in the implementation of the thinking strategies. The thinking strategies will be embedded in the work the teachers will be learning with regard to the Daily Five and Cafe book studies. Substitutes will be hired each year for the next three years to provide teachers release time for training and for feedback from the coaching sessions.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
April Gates	09/01/2010	Initial training will take place with PEBC 11/11/10 Coaching with feedback will be provided to teachers one time each year. Daily Five will be conducted as a book study to provide the framework for reading to incorporate the thinking strategies.	05/01/2013	16,000	11,400	8,000

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

A highly qualified teacher will be hired to serve as a resource to assist with books studies, help teachers through the change process, identify resources, do action research and work with teacher in the development of formative assessments.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
April Gates	08/01/2010	Aug 2010 Teacher would be hired September begin action research projects October develop connections to University of Wyoming October begin developing	06/10/2013	85,000	88,000	91,000

formative assessments to support the GVC with assessments created each month.

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Outside speakers will work with staff and provide training on best practice in school reform, change, social reform and literacy which will result in renewed staff commitment to providing strong instruction for all students and school improvement

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
April Gates	10/01/2010	Anthony Mohammed October 2010 Ron Clark October 2010 Ruby Payne October 2011 The Two Sisters October 2012	10/30/2012	25,000	27,000	10,000

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Staff will engage in job embedded professional development to support and enhance the information gleaned for professional speakers that come into the school. Teachers will utilize information gathered from professional texts to improve instructional effectiveness and school climate. Teachers will engage in collaborative discussion around professional texts read, as a staff, over a set time period. Instructional facilitators will coach staff around the teaching strategies. The book studies will use The Daily Five, Understanding Poverty, Motion, Leadership and Research Based Strategies: Narrowing the Achievement Gap for Under Resourced Students.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
April Gates	08/01/2010	Yearly monitoring through the teacher evaluation system. Minutes from PLC collaboration on the book studies will be monitored monthly. Monthly staff meeting to discuss progress and process.	05/01/2010	2,700	2,200	0

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Selected staff members will attend a conference on Cafe, for which the dates are not currently available. This will be done to reinforce the learnings from the Cafe book study. The building resource team will attend a Professional Learning Community conference to support and deepen the understanding and implementation of Professional Learning Communities which are currently being implemented at Pioneer Park.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
April Gates	09/21/2011	Dates are not yet available for the conferences. The Cafe training will be held attended the 11 - 12 school year and the PLC Conference will be the 12 - 13 school year.	06/01/2013	0	1,400	6,000
Total Cost By Year				145,050	133,500	117,650

Time and Support

Please list any and all activities/cost associated with increased learning time for staff and students, providing an ongoing mechanism for community/family engagement, and social-emotional/community-oriented services/support.

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

The school will add fifteen minutes to each school day and complete at time audit to see if there are ways to better use the time available.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
April Gates	09/01/2010	Twenty minutes added to each school day beginning with the first day of school. Group meets to conduct a time audit in November of 2010. Group reports to staff with recommendations on how to find more time for instruction in February of 2011	02/28/2011	0	0	0

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Parents will be actively challenged to support the school parent organization and to take an active role in the school and their child's education. Trainings with Ruby Payne will be provided to parents to help them understand and better support the achievement of low SES students. A facilitator for parent meetings will be tasked with planning and providing trainings for parents. A space will be provided within the school for use by the parent organization and other parents. The space will include technology so parents can access student grades and participate in the school program.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
Terri Peden	01/15/2011	Plans will be completed for the parent involvement by 3/1/11. Ruby Payne Training will take place by 2/1/11. PTO space and computer access will be provided by 12/1/10. Parent activities will be held on a consistent basis with the timeline determined in January of 2011	12/01/2012	8,450	8,250	4,250

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
Total Cost By Year				8,450	8,250	4,250

Governance

Please list any and all activities/cost associated with providing operating flexibility and to ensure ongoing technical assistance.

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

The district will work with the principal and staff at Pioneer Park to provide flexibility and ongoing technical assistance.

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
Marc LaHiff	08/01/2010	Initial meeting with staff 9/15/10 Meet monthly with principal for updates Meet each semester with school improvement team	06/01/2013	0	0	0
Total Cost By Year				0	0	0

LEA-Level Activities

Please list all LEA-Level activities/costs.

Enter Activity Description ([count] of 1000 maximum characters used)

Person Responsible	Start Date	Key Milestones and Dates	Completion Date	Estimated Cost		
				SY 2010-2011	SY 2011-2012	SY 2012-2013
Total Cost By Year				0	0	0
Total Cost for All Activities by Year				257,500	248,750	231,900

## INTERVENTIONS: SCHOOL TRANSFORMATION MODEL - Intervention Questions

## Specific Intervention Questions

Please give a detailed explanation of the process the LEA/School will use to review and select a new principal:

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

During the 2008 - 2009 school year the principalship at Pioneer Park was posted. The district conducted a search for a principal who would serve as an instructional leader and change agent. Dr. April Gates was selected for that position and has been there for two years. She has begun to lead the staff through change with second order implications.

Please give a detailed explanation of the process the LEA/School will use to implement a new evaluation system:

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

The evaluation system used for teachers will be based on the Framework for Teaching. In addition, during the coming year tracking of student achievement data will become part of the overall teacher evaluation system. In addition to evaluation, teachers will receive walk through visits that are not used for evaluation but will be used for coaching staff in research based instructional strategies.

How will the LEA /School ensure that it is developed with input from staff?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

A team of current staff members worked with the principal to develop the current plan. Staff will be involved through building level leadership meetings and staff meetings to monitor and adjust the timelines and implementation strategies as needed. Feedback data will be gathered from staff through the use of on line surveys and input from collaborative groups.

How will the LEA/School ensure the use of student growth as significant factor for this new evaluation system?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Growth goals for all students will be part of the district evaluation system during the current year. Pioneer Park will implement the use of student data based on a plan provided by the district. Assessment data from the Measurement of Academic Progress will be used along with the state assessment system as part of the evaluation process. The district is currently developing this plan.

What strategies will the LEA/School use to recruit, place and retain staff?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Currently Laramie County School District Number One has one of the best salary structures in a several state area. The Human Resources Division has a significant number of applicants for each position posted. The goals, mission, vision and core values align with student growth and achievement which attracts teachers of similar mind. Teachers have access to several high quality professional development opportunities that ensure we continue to be a learning organization.

Please give a detailed explanation of the process the LEA/School will use to select and implement an instructional model based on student needs:

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Pioneer Park will use the instructional models that recently are being implemented in the district. The Balanced Literacy Framework has been rewritten to address current research and best practice in the area of reading and writing. Thinking strategies will begin roll out this year as will the instructional framework from Classroom Instruction that Works. The walk through tool will be used to monitor the implementation of the above instructional models.

Please give a detailed explanation as to how the LEA/School will evaluate job-embedded professional development to ensure that it is supporting and building the capacity of staff:

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

The trailing indicator being used to evaluate job embedded staff development will be student achievement. Prior to full implementation leading indicators will be tracked through the use of instructional facilitators working directly with classroom teachers to guide and direct implementation of new instructional strategies with quality, fidelity, intensity and consistency. Feedback from staff will be used using a Plan Do Study Act model to facilitate after action reviews. After staff development is provided teachers will provide feedback to the building principal, instructional coach and district staff when necessary.

How will the school ensure use of data to inform and differentiate instruction?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Currently PAWS data is available on a yearly basis. The data from the state assessment will be studied to determine student and program strengths and weaknesses. The Measurement of Academic Progress data from the 2009 - 2010 school year with special emphasis on growth norms by students will also be evaluated to determine individual students needs. MAP will also be given during the fall, winter and spring with individual growth goals identified for each student. Progress from the baseline assessment will generate the growth goal with the winter session providing a mid year benchmark and the spring demonstrating the attainment of the growth goal. Based on the information from the baseline assessment and the Des Carte report teachers will be able to differentiate instruction using the information from MAP and the new curriculum maps developed this summer for the district.

How will the school increase learning time for staff and students?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Twenty minutes will be added to the school day for all elementary students this school year. In addition time usage as a school and district will be studied to determine if there is time that could be better used to support teaching and learning. Teacher learning will be increase through the use of book studies during collaboration times and staff meetings. Substitutes will be brought in as needed to increase time for teacher learning.

How will the school ensure ongoing community and family engagement is provided?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

One of the areas of focus of this grant is to increase parent and community involvement. This will be done through growing the current parent organization, ongoing parent training and a facilitator to help with the parent involvement process. Space and technology will be provided within Pioneer Park school for parents to meet, access student grades and be involved in other activities to support student achievement.

How will the LEA ensure sufficient operating flexibility to implement reform?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

One of the district Senior Leadership Team members will be assigned to Pioneer Park to ensure flexibility based on frequent monitoring of the data with regard to the implementation of the plan. A PDSA cycle will be held throughout each year of the grant to make adjustments as needed.

How will the LEA ensure on-going technical assistance to this school? What will that technical assistance look like?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Technical assistance will be provided primarily through working with a member of the Senior Leadership team. The contact will meet with the principal at least once every month to monitor leading and trailing indicators. In addition the SLT member will meet with the school level leadership team as needed, but at a minimum of each semester.

How will the LEA grant operating flexibility to the new school leader?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Flexibility will be provided to the school principal through the concept of defined autonomy.

How will you consult with stakeholders concerning the implementation of this model?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

As the plan begins to move forward and parent involvement begins to increase then parents and staff will be able to provide input regarding the direction of the school. This will be done through parent trainings and regularly scheduled meetings with parents. The PTO President was involved in the development of the plan.

How will the LEA/School continue with the intervention and activities implemented after funding has ended, incorporating results/data from a funding or impact study?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

Job embedded staff development represents a focus of the grant which will still be in existence when the grant ends. New staff will be trained in the instructional strategies as part of the entry process for new teachers. Instructional coaches will be provided to new staff to teach and coach them in the instructional strategies. Positions created with the grant may or may not need to be continued. Once a consistent approach to behavior and instructional management becomes part of the school culture the assistant principal position may no longer be needed.

For Tier III Schools how have you modified this School Intervention Model?

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

NA

Please give a detailed explanation as to the reasoning behind the modification of this model:

([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

NA



Budget Detail BUDGET BREAKDOWN (Use whole dollars only. Omit Decimal Places, e.g., 2536)

[Instructions](#)

Teachers and Leaders:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$104,000

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
36-Instruction (Public) <input type="checkbox"/>	80000	24000	0	0	0	\$104,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$80,000</b>	<b>\$24,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$104,000</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Instructional and Support Strategies:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$145,050

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
36-Instruction (Public) <input type="checkbox"/>	65000	20000	0	0	0	\$85,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
96-Staff Development <input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	46000	14050	0	\$60,050	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$65,000</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>\$46,000</b>	<b>\$14,050</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$145,050</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Time and Support:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$8,450

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
49-Parent / Family Involvement <input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	8450	0	0	\$8,450	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$8,450</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$8,450</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Governance:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$0

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

LEA-Level Activities:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$0

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

***** TOTALS *****	\$145,000	\$44,000	\$54,450	\$14,050	\$0	\$257,500
--------------------	-----------	----------	----------	----------	-----	-----------

Determining Maximum Indirect Cost allowed

(A) Total Allocation Available for Budgeting	\$257,500	(F) Total budgeted above	\$257,500
(B) Capital Outlay Costs	\$0	(G) Budgeted Indirect Cost	9903
(C) Allowable Direct Costs (A-B)	\$257,500	(H) Total Budget (F+G)	\$267,403
(D) Indirect Cost Rate %	4.0000		
(E) Maximum Indirect Cost (C*(D/1+D))	\$9,903		

Calculate Totals

Budget Detail BUDGET BREAKDOWN (Use whole dollars only. Omit Decimal Places, e.g., 2536)

[Instructions](#)

Teachers and Leaders:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$107,000

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
36-Instruction (Public) <input type="checkbox"/>	84000	23000	0	0	0	\$107,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$84,000</b>	<b>\$23,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$107,000</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Instructional and Support Strategies:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$133,500

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
36-Instruction (Public) <input type="checkbox"/>	67000	21000	0	0	0	\$88,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
96-Staff Development <input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	45500	0	0	\$45,500	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$67,000</b>	<b>\$21,000</b>	<b>\$45,500</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$133,500</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Time and Support:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$8,250

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
49-Parent / Family Involvement <input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	8250	0	0	\$8,250	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$8,250</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$8,250</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Governance:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$0

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

LEA-Level Activities:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$0

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

***** TOTALS *****	\$151,000	\$44,000	\$53,750	\$0	\$0	\$248,750
--------------------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	-----	-----------

Determining Maximum Indirect Cost allowed

(A) Total Allocation Available for Budgeting	\$248,750	(F) Total budgeted above	\$248,750
(B) Capital Outlay Costs	\$0	(G) Budgeted Indirect Cost	9567
(C) Allowable Direct Costs (A-B)	\$248,750	(H) Total Budget (F+G)	\$258,317
(D) Indirect Cost Rate %	4.0000		
(E) Maximum Indirect Cost (C*(D/1+D))	\$9,567		

Calculate Totals

Budget Detail BUDGET BREAKDOWN (Use whole dollars only. Omit Decimal Places, e.g., 2536)

[Instructions](#)

Teachers and Leaders:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$110,000

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
36-Instruction (Public) <input type="checkbox"/>	87000	23000	0	0	0	\$110,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$87,000</b>	<b>\$23,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$110,000</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Instructional and Support Strategies:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$117,650

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
36-Instruction (Public) <input type="checkbox"/>	68000	20000	0	0	0	\$88,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
96-Staff Development <input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	29650	0	0	\$29,650	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$68,000</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>\$29,650</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$117,650</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Time and Support:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$4,250

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
49-Parent / Family Involvement <input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	4250	0	0	\$4,250	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$4,250</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$4,250</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

Governance:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$0

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

LEA-Level Activities:  
Based upon activities specified for this school, budget details for this section should sum to \$0

Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	Total SI - 1003g-School_Improve Funds	Delete Row
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

[Create Additional Entries](#)

***** TOTALS *****	\$155,000	\$43,000	\$33,900	\$0	\$0	\$231,900
--------------------	-----------	----------	----------	-----	-----	-----------

Determining Maximum Indirect Cost allowed

(A) Total Allocation Available for Budgeting	\$231,900	(F) Total budgeted above	\$231,900
(B) Capital Outlay Costs	\$0	(G) Budgeted Indirect Cost	8919
(C) Allowable Direct Costs (A-B)	\$231,900	(H) Total Budget (F+G)	\$240,819
(D) Indirect Cost Rate %	4.0000		
(E) Maximum Indirect Cost (C*(D/1+D))	\$8,919		

Calculate Totals

Budget (Read Only)

[Instructions](#)

Code	Activity Description	100 - Salaries	200 - Benefits	300 - Purchased Services	400 - Supplies & Materials	500 - Capital Outlay	TOTAL
20	Coordination of Services						
36	Instruction (Public)	451,000	131,000				582,000
49	Parent / Family Involvement			20,950			20,950
60	Public School Choice						
81	Summer School Activities						
90	ELL Activities						
91	Extended Day Activities						
94	School and Community Support						
82	Support Services						
96	Staff Development			121,150	14,050		135,200 17.64 %
Total Direct Costs		451,000	131,000	142,100	14,050		738,150 96.30 %
Approved Indirect Cost X 4.0000%							28,389
Total Budget							766,539

## Appendix A - Part 1

## Defining and Identifying Wyoming's Tier I, II and III Schools

In an effort to blend State and Federal requirements and to create a unified comprehensive system for assisting persistently lowest-achieving schools, Wyoming has one definition and method of identifying Tier I, II, and III schools for School Improvement Grants and also for Race to the Top and State Fiscal Stabilization funding.

In the December 2009 School Improvement Grants Application for funding under Section 1003(g) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA):

School Improvement Grants, authorized under section 1003(g) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I or ESEA), are grants, through State educational agencies (SEAs), to local educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that demonstrate the greatest need for the funds and the strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources in order to raise substantially the achievement of their students so as to enable the schools to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status.

Selecting schools eligible for funding requires that the SEA identify three levels of need described as Tier I, II, and III schools, the basis for identification of those schools is as follows:

## Identifying Tier I Schools

Tier I schools consist of the following:

Any Title I school in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that -

1. Is among the lowest-achieving five percent of Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring or the lowest-achieving five Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring in the State, whichever number of schools is greater, based on the ranking of the 'all students' group in reading and math on the School Academic Achievement and Progress Ranking of all Wyoming Schools; or
2. Is a high school that has had a graduation rate as defined in 34 C.F.R. 200.19(b) that is less than 60 percent two out of the last three years.

## Identifying Tier II Schools

Tier II schools consist of the following:

Any secondary school that is eligible for, but does not receive, Title I funds that -

1. Is among lowest-achieving five percent of secondary schools or the lowest-achieving five secondary schools in the State that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I funds, whichever number of schools is greater, based on the ranking of the 'all students' group in reading and math on the School Academic Achievement and Progress Ranking of all Wyoming Schools; or
2. Is a high school that has had a graduation rate as defined in 34 C.F.R. 200.19(b) that is less than 60 percent two out of the last three years.

## Identifying Tier III Schools

Tier III schools consist of the following:

Is any Title I school in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring; or

1. Is a Title I eligible school among the lowest quintile (20%) of performance based on the ranking of the 'all students' group in reading and math on the School Academic Achievement and Progress Ranking of all Wyoming Schools; and
2. Does not meet the requirements to be a Tier I or Tier II school.



## Appendix A - Part 2

Calculation of a valid comparative metric for Wyoming schools' Academic Achievement (performance) on PAWS (Wyoming's state assessment) for each subject tested:

1. Statewide Percent Proficient by Grade: The statewide percentage of students testing proficient in each grade. All students tested in Wyoming public schools are included.
2. Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient: As testing for each grade level is independent of testing at other grade levels, the enrollment-by-grade makeup of each school must be taken into account to create a performance measure that will be valid for performance comparison of all Wyoming schools. To accomplish this need, the Statewide Percent Proficient by Grade values for each grade served by a school are averaged, weighted by the percentage of students enrolled in each grade served.
  - a. Examples
    - i. Suppose that Statewide Percent Proficient by Grade is 50% for fourth grade and 60% for fifth grade.
    - ii. Example 1: A school serves on the fourth and fifth grades with enrollment of 50 fourth grade students and 50 fifth grade students.
      1. Half (50%) the students are enrolled in fourth grade, and half are enrolled in fifth grade.
      2. With equal enrollment weighting (half the 100 total students are in each grade), the weighted average target likewise becomes the halfway point between the fourth grade and fifth grade Statewide Percent Proficient by Grade values (50% and 60% respectively). This halfway point, the Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient is then 55%.
        - a. Mathematically, this 55% weighted average is calculated as [(50 fourth grade students \* 50% Statewide Percent Proficient by Grade for fourth grade) + (50 fifth grade student \* 60% Statewide Percent Proficient by Grade for fifth grade)] divided by 100 students total enrolled in the school.
    - iii. Example 2: A school serves only the fourth grade, with a total enrollment of 100 fourth grade students.
      1. With all 100 students enrolled in fourth grade, the Statewide Percent Proficient by Grade for fourth grade of 50% becomes the Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient for the school.
3. Relative Proficiency Performance: The comparative final metric, this is the difference between the percent of students proficient in a school and the Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient applicable to the school's particular enrollment-by-grade makeup.
  - a. Relative Proficiency Performance values are calculated as positive or negative percentages. The higher a positive percentage, the better a school's performance on current year testing. The lower a negative percentage, the more a school is in need of improvement.
  - b. Relative Proficiency Performance values are then ranked. The higher the percentage, the lower the ranking, and the better the performance. The lower the percentage, the higher the ranking, and the more improvement is needed.

Calculation of a valid comparative metric for Wyoming schools' Progress in performance on PAWS (Wyoming's state assessment) for each subject tested:

1. As described within Wyoming's Academic Achievement metric overview, the Relative Proficiency Performance values are calculated by subject and school year for each Wyoming school.
2. Performance Trend Value: A three year performance trend value (linear regression slope) is then calculated for each school.
  - a. A positive Performance Trend Value indicates that a school has a positive three year performance trend (performance is increasing). Likewise, a negative value indicates a decreasing performance trend. The higher the Performance Trend Value, the larger the relative three year performance gain trend, and vice-versa.
  - b. Performance Trend Value figures are then ranked. The higher the figure the lower the ranking, and the better the performance. The lower the figure, the higher the ranking, and the more improvement is needed.

Overall ranking of schools for identification of 'persistently lowest-achieving schools' then takes place for two groupings: all-schools, and by-school-category (secondary schools, etc.)

1. School Academic Achievement and Progress Ranking: The average of the four calculated Academic Achievement and Progress rankings:
  - a. Math Academic Achievement Ranking
  - b. Reading Academic Achievement Ranking
  - c. Math Progress Ranking
  - d. Reading Progress Ranking
2. Methodology remains the same across the four component rankings and the final School Academic Achievement and Progress Ranking in that the higher the ranking, the lower the performance and the greater the need for improvement.

Appendix B

Wyoming's Identified Tier I, II, and III Schools

District	NCES Agency ID #	School	NCES School ID #	Tier I	Tier II	Tier III	Grad Rate	Newly Eligible
Albany #1	5600730	Velma Linford Elementary	00014			X		
		Whiting High School	00066		X			
Big Horn #4	5601090	Riverside High School	00036			X		X
Campbell #1	5601470	Rawhide Elementary	00071			X		X
		Lakeview Elementary	00070			X		X
Carbon #1	5601030	Cooperative High School	00147	X			X	
		Rawlings Middle School	00028			X		X
		Pershing Elementary	00033	X				
		Mountain View Elementary	00032			X		X
Carbon #2	5601700	HEM Junior/Senior High School	00385		X			
Converse #1	5602140	Douglas Primary School	00128			X		
		Douglas Intermediate School	00352			X		
		Moss Agate Elementary	130			X		X
Converse #2	5602150	Glenrock High School	00137		X			
Crook #1		Hulett School	00458			X		X
Fremont #1	5602870	Pathfinder High School	00154	X			X	
		North Elementary	00199			X		

Appendix B

Wyoming's Identified Tier I, II, and III Schools

District	NCES Agency ID #	School	NCES School ID #	Tier I	Tier II	Tier III	Grad Rate	Newly Eligible
Fremont #14	5604450	Wyoming Indian Elementary School	00226	X				
		Wyoming Indian Middle School	00386	X				
		Wyoming Indian High School	00441			X		X
Fremont #21	5602820	Ft. Washakie Charter High School	00354	X			X	
Fremont #24	5605700	Shoshoni Junior High School	00510			X		X
		Shoshoni High School	00323			X		X
Fremont #25	5605220	Aspen Park Elementary	00292			X		X
Fremont #38	5600960	Arapahoe Elementary	00162	X				
		Arapaho Charter High School	00367	X			X	
Goshen #1	5602990	Trail Elementary	00488			X		X
Johnson #1	5603770	Kaycee High School	00188			X		X
Laramie #1		Triumph High School	00092		X		X	
		Johnson Junior High School	00094			X		
		Pioneer Park Elementary	00118			X		X
Lincoln #2	5604060	Swift Creek Learning Center	00193		X		X	
Natrona #1	5604510	Frontier Middle School	00374			X		
		Mountain View Elementary School	00248	X				
		Roosevelt High School	00256		X		X	

Appendix B

Wyoming's Identified Tier I, II, and III Schools

District	NCES Agency ID #	School	NCES School ID #	Tier I	Tier II	Tier III	Grad Rate	Newly Eligible
Niobrara #1	5604230	Lusk Middle School	00215			X		X
Platte#1	5605090	Chugwater Junior High School	00509			X		X
Platte #2	5603180	Guernsey-Sunrise Junior High	00499			X		X
Sublette #9	5601260	Big Piney Elementary	00043			X		X
Sweetwater #1	5605302	Lincoln Elementary	00299			X		X
		Rock Springs High School	00294			X		X
		Desert View Elementary	00298			X		
		Rock Springs East Junior High	00295			X		X
		Expedition Academy	00164	X			X	
		Truman Elementary	00425			X		X
Sweetwater #2	5605762	Colter Elementary	00289			X		
Teton #1	5605830	Jackson Elementary	00313			X		
		Summit High School	00512		X			
		Horizon Altnerative School	00376		X			
Uinta #1	5602760	North Evanston Elementary	00433			X		
Uinta #4	5604500	Aspen Elementary	00462			X		
		Mountain View Middle School	00388			X		

## Appendix C - Section I

## Final Requirements for School Improvement Grants, as Amended in January 2010

I. SEA Priorities in Awarding School Improvement Grants:

- A. Defining key terms. To award School Improvement Grants to its LEAs, consistent with section 1003(g)(6) of the ESEA, an SEA must define three tiers of schools, in accordance with the requirements in paragraph 1, to enable the SEA to select those LEAs with the greatest need for such funds. From among the LEAs in greatest need, the SEA must select, in accordance with paragraph 2, those LEAs that demonstrate the strongest commitment to ensuring that the funds are used to provide adequate resources to enable the lowest-achieving schools to meet the accountability requirements in this notice. Accordingly, an SEA must use the following definitions to define key terms:
1. Greatest need. An LEA with the greatest need for a School Improvement Grant must have one or more schools in at least one of the following tiers:
    - (a) Tier I schools:
      - (i) A Tier I school is a Title I school in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that is identified by the SEA under paragraph (a)(1) of the definition of 'persistently lowest-achieving schools.'
      - (ii) At its option, an SEA may also identify as a Tier I school an elementary school that is eligible for Title I, Part A funds that --
        - (A)
          - (1) Has not made adequate yearly progress for at least two consecutive years; or
          - (2) Is in the State's lowest quintile of performance based on proficiency rates on the State's assessments under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA in reading/language arts and mathematics combined; and
        - (B) is no higher achieving than the highest-achieving school identified by the SEA under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of the definition 'persistently lowest-achieving schools'.
    - (b) Tier II schools:
      - (i) A Tier II school is a secondary school that is eligible for, but does not receive, Title I, Part A funds and is identified by the SEA under paragraph (a)(2) of the definition of 'persistently lowest-achieving schools'.
      - (ii) At its option, an SEA may also identify as a Tier II school a secondary school that is eligible for Title I, Part A funds that --
        - (A)
          - (1) Has not made adequate yearly progress for at least two consecutive years; or
          - (2) Is in the State's lowest quintile of performance based on proficiency rates on the State's assessments under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA in reading/language arts and mathematics combined; and
        - (B)
          - (1) Is no higher achieving than the highest-achieving school identified by the SEA under paragraph (a)(2)(i) of the definition of 'persistently lowest-achieving schools'; or
          - (2) Is a high school that has had a graduation rate as defined in 34 CFR 200.19(b) that is less than 60 percent over a number of years.
    - (c) Tier III schools:
      - (i) A Tier III school is a Title I school in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that is not a Tier I school.
      - (ii) At its option, an SEA may also identify as a Tier III school a school that is eligible for Title I, Part A funds that --
        - (A)
          - (1) Has not made adequate yearly progress for at least two years; or
          - (2) Is in the State's lowest quintile of performance based on proficiency rates on the State's assessments under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA in reading/language arts and mathematics combined; and
        - (B) Does not meet the requirements to be a Tier I or Tier II school.
      - (iii) An SEA may establish additional criteria to use in setting priorities among LEA applications for funding and to encourage LEAs to differentiate among Tier III schools in their use of school improvement funds.
  2. Strongest Commitment. An LEA with the strongest commitment is an LEA that agrees to implement, and demonstrates the capacity to implement fully and effectively, one of the following rigorous interventions in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve.
    - (a) Turnaround model:
      - (1) A turnaround model is one in which an LEA must --
        - (i) Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach in order to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;

## Appendix C - Section I - Defining Key Terms (cont)

- (ii) Using locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students.
    - (A) Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
    - (B) Select new staff;
  - (iii) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
  - (iv) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
  - (v) Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a new 'turnaround office' in the LEA or SEA, hire a 'turnaround leader' who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;
  - (vi) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;
  - (vii) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
  - (viii) Establish schedules and implement strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and
  - (ix) Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.
- (2) A turnaround model may also implement other strategies such as --
- (i) Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation model; or
  - (ii) A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy).
- (b) **Restart model:** A restart model is one in which an LEA converts a school or closes and reopens a school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. (A CMO is a non-profit organization that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organization that provides 'whole-school operation' services to an LEA.) A restart model must enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school.
- (c) **School closure:** School closure occurs when an LEA closes a school and enrolls the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving. These other schools should be within reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available.
- (d) **Transformation model:** A transformational model is one in which an LEA implements each of the following strategies:
- (1) Developing and increasing teacher and school leader effectiveness.
    - (i) Required activities. The LEA must --
      - (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;
      - (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that --
        - (1) Take into account data on student growth (as defined in this notice) as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduations rates; and
        - (2) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
      - (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
      - (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
      - (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
    - (ii) **Permissible activities:** An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as --
      - (A) Providing additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
      - (B) Instituting a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
      - (C) Ensuring that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.

---

Appendix C - Section I - Defining Key Terms (cont)

---

- (2) Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
- (i) Required activities. The LEA must --
- (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with state academic standards; and
- (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- (ii) Permissible Activities: An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as --
- (A) Conducting periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
- (B) implementing a schoolwide 'response-to-intervention' model;
- (C) Providing additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
- (D) Using and integrating technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
- (E) In secondary schools --
- (1) Increasing rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
- (2) Improving student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
- (3) Increasing graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
- (4) Establishing early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- (3) Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
- (i) Required activities: The LEA must --
- (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and
- (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- (ii) Permissible activities: An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as --
- (A) Partnering with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
- (B) Extending or restructuring the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
- (C) Implementing approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
- (D) Expanding the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.

---

 Appendix C - Section I - Defining Key Terms (cont)
 

---

- (4) Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
- (i) Required activities: The LEA must --
    - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
    - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
  - (ii) Permissible Activities: The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as --
    - (A) Allowing the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
    - (B) Implementing a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.
3. Definitions.
- Increased learning time means using a longer school day, week, or year schedule to significantly increase the total number of school hours to include additional time for (a) instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography; (b) instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential work-based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations; and (c) teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and subjects. 1
- Persistently lowest-achieving schools means, as determined by the State --
- (a)
- (1) Any Title I school in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that --
    - (i) Is among the lowest-achieving five percent of Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring or the lowest-achieving five Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring in the State, whichever number of schools is greater; or
    - (ii) Is a high school that has had a graduation rate as defined in 34 CFR 200.19(b) that is less than 60 percent over a number of years; and
  - (2) Any secondary school that is eligible for, but does not receive, Title I funds that --
    - (i) Is among the lowest-achieving five percent of secondary schools or the lowest-achieving five secondary schools in the State that are eligible for, but do not receive Title I funds, whichever number of schools is greater; or
    - (ii) Is a high school that has had a graduation rate as defined in 34 CFR 200.19(b) that is less than 60 percent over a number of years.
- (b) To identify the lowest-achieving schools, a State must take into account both --
- (i) The academic achievement of the 'all students' group in a school in terms of proficiency on the State's assessments under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA in reading/language arts and mathematics combined; and
  - (ii) The school's lack of progress on those assessments over a number of years in the 'all students' group.



## Appendix C - Section I - Defining Key Terms (cont)

Student growth means the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of the ESEA. A State may also include other measures that are rigorous and comparable across classrooms.

4. Evidence of strongest commitment.

- (a) In determining the strength of an LEA's commitment to ensuring that school improvement funds are used to provide adequate resources to enable Tier I and Tier II schools to improve student achievement substantially, an SEA must consider, at a minimum, the extent to which the LEA's application demonstrates that the LEA has taken, or will take, action to --
- (i) Analyze the needs of its schools and select an intervention for each school;
  - (ii) Design and implement interventions consistent with these requirements;
  - (iii) Recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality;
  - (iv) Align other resources with the interventions;
  - (v) Modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable it to implement the interventions fully and effectively, and
  - (vi) Sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.
- (b) The SEA must consider the LEA's capacity to implement the interventions and may approve the LEA to serve only those Tier I and Tier II schools for which the SEA determines that the LEA can implement fully and effectively one of the interventions.
- B. Providing flexibility.
1. An SEA may award school improvement funds to an LEA for a Tier I or Tier II school that has implemented, in whole or in part, an intervention that meets requirements under section I.A.2(a), 2(b), or 2(d) of these requirements within the last two years so that the LEA and school can continue or complete the intervention being implemented in that school.
  2. An SEA may seek a waiver from the Secretary of the requirements in section 1116(b) of the ESEA in order to permit a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating school implementing an intervention that meets the requirements under section I.A.2(a) or 2(b) of these requirements in an LEA that receives a School Improvement Grant to 'start over' in the school improvement timeline. Even though a school implementing a waiver would no longer be in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring, it may receive school improvement funds.
  3. An SEA may seek a waiver from the Secretary to enable a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating school that is ineligible to operate a Title I schoolwide program and is operating a Title I targeted assistance program to operate a schoolwide program in order to implement an intervention that meets the requirements under section I.A.2(a), 2(b), or 2(d) of these requirements.
  4. An SEA may seek a waiver from the Secretary to extend the period of availability of school improvement funds beyond September 30, 2011 so as to make those funds available to the SEA and its LEAs for up to three years.
  5. If an SEA does not seek a waiver under section I.B.2, 3, or 4, an LEA may seek a waiver.

1 Research supports the effectiveness of well-designed programs that expand learning time by a minimum of 300 hours per school year. (see Frazier, Julie A.; Morrison, Fredrick J. 'The Influence of Extended-year Schooling on Growth of Achievement and Perceived Competence in Early Elementary School.' *Child Development*. Vol. 69 (2), April 1998, pp.495-497 and research done by Mass2020). Extended learning into before- and after-school hours can be difficult to implement effectively, but is permissible under this definition with encouragement to closely integrate and coordinate academic work between in school and out of school. (See James-Burdumy, Susanne; Dynarski, Mark; Deke, John. 'When Elementary Schools Stay Open Late: Results from the National Evaluation of the 21st Century Community Learning Centers Program.' *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, Vol. 29 (4), December 2007, Document No. PP07-121.) [http://www.mathematica-mpr.com/publications/redirect\\_PubsDB.asp?strSite=http://epa.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/29/4/296](http://www.mathematica-mpr.com/publications/redirect_PubsDB.asp?strSite=http://epa.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/29/4/296)[http://www.mathematica-mpr.com/publications/redirect\\_PubsDB.asp?strSite=http://epa.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/29/4/296](http://www.mathematica-mpr.com/publications/redirect_PubsDB.asp?strSite=http://epa.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/29/4/296)[http://www.mathematica-mpr.com/publications/redirect\\_PubsDB.asp?strSite=http://epa.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/29/4/296](http://www.mathematica-mpr.com/publications/redirect_PubsDB.asp?strSite=http://epa.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/29/4/296)

## Appendix C - Section II

II. Awarding School Improvement Grants to LEAs:

## A. LEA requirements.

1. An LEA may apply for a School Improvement Grant if it receives Title I, Part A funds and has one or more schools that qualify under the State's definition of a Tier I, Tier II, or Tier III school.
2. In its application, in addition to other information that the SEA may require --
  - (a) The LEA must --
    - (i) Identify the Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III schools it commits to serve;
    - (ii) Identify the intervention it will implement in each Tier I and Tier II school it commits to serve;
    - (iii) Demonstrate that it has the capacity to use the school improvement funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier I and Tier II school it commits to serve in order to implement fully and effectively one of the four interventions identified in section I.A.2 of these requirements;
    - (iv) Provide evidence of its strong commitment to use school improvement funds to implement the four interventions by addressing the factors in section I.A.4(a) of these requirements;
    - (v) Include a timeline delineating the steps the LEA will take to implement the selected intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school identified in the LEA's application; and
    - (vi) Include a budget indicating how it will allocate school improvement funds among the Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III schools it commits to serve.
  - (b) If an LEA has nine or more Tier I and Tier II schools, the LEA may not implement the transformation model in more than 50 percent of those schools.
3. The LEA must serve each Tier I school unless the LEA demonstrates that it lacks sufficient capacity (which may be due, in part, to serving Tier II schools) to undertake one of these rigorous interventions in each Tier I school, in which case the LEA must indicate the Tier I schools that it can effectively serve. An LEA may not serve with school improvement funds awarded under section 1003(g) of the ESEA a Tier I or Tier II school in which it does not implement one of the four interventions identified in section I.A.2 of these requirements.
4. The LEA's budget for each Tier I and Tier II school it commits to serve must be of sufficient size and scope to ensure that the LEA can implement one of the rigorous interventions identified in section I.A.2 of these requirements. The LEA's budget must cover the period of availability of the school improvement funds, taking into account any waivers extending the period of availability received by the SEA or LEA.
5. The LEA's budget for each Tier III school it commits to serve must include the services it will provide the school, particularly if the school meets additional criteria established by the SEA.
6. An LEA that commits to serve one or more Tier I, Tier II, or Tier III schools that do not receive Title I, Part A funds must ensure that each such school it serves receives all of the State and local funds it would have received in the absence of the school improvement funds.
7. An LEA which one or more Tier I Schools are located and that does not apply to serve at least one of these schools may not apply for a grant to serve only Tier III schools.
8.
  - (a) To monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that receives school improvement funds, an LEA must --
    - (i) Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics; and
    - (ii) Measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of these requirements.
  - (b) The LEA must also meet the requirements with respect to adequate yearly progress in section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA.
9. If an LEA implements a restart model, it must hold the charter school operator, CMO, or EMO accountable for meeting the final requirements.

## Appendix C - Section II - Awarding School Improvement Grants to LEAs (cont)

- B. SEA requirements.
1. To receive a School Improvement Grant, an SEA must submit an application to the Department at such time, and containing such information, as the Secretary shall reasonably require.
  2.
    - (a) An SEA must review and approve, consistent with these requirements, an application for a School Improvement Grant that it receives from an LEA.
    - (b) Before approving an LEA's application, the SEA must ensure that the application meets these requirements, particularly with respect to --
      - (i) Whether the LEA has agreed to implement one of the four interventions identified in section I.A.2 of these requirements in each Tier I and Tier II school included in its application;
      - (ii) The extent to which the LEA's application shows the LEA's strong commitment to use school improvement funds to implement the four interventions by addressing the factors in section I.A.4(a) of these requirements;
      - (iii) Whether the LEA has the capacity to implement the selected intervention fully and effectively in each Tier I and Tier II school identified in its application; and
      - (iv) Whether the LEA has submitted a budget that includes sufficient funds to implement the selected intervention fully and effectively in each Tier I and Tier II school it identifies in its application and whether the budget covers the period of availability of the funds, taking into account any waiver extending the period of availability received by either the SEA or the LEA.
    - (c) An SEA may, consistent with State law, take over an LEA or specific Tier I or Tier II schools in order to implement the interventions in these requirements.
    - (d) An SEA may not require an LEA to implement a particular model in one or more schools unless the SEA has taken over the LEA or school.
    - (e) To the extent that a Tier I or Tier II school implementing a restart model becomes a charter school LEA, an SEA must hold the charter school LEA accountable, or ensure that the charter school authorizer holds it accountable, for complying with these requirements.
  3. An SEA must post on its website, within 30 days of awarding School Improvement Grants to LEAs, all final LEA applications as well as a summary of those grants that includes the following information:
    - (a) Name and National Center for Statistics (NCES) identification number of each LEA awarded a grant.
    - (b) Amount of each LEA's grant.
    - (c) Name and NCES identification number of each school to be served.
    - (d) Type of intervention to be implemented in each Tier I and Tier II school.
  4. If an SEA does not have sufficient school improvement funds to award, for up to three years, a grant to each LEA that submits an approved application, the SEA must give priority to LEAs that apply to serve Tier I or Tier II schools.
  5. An SEA must award a School Improvement Grant to an LEA in an amount that is of sufficient size and scope to support the activities required under section 1116 of the ESEA and these requirements. The LEA's total grant may not be less than \$50,000 or more than \$2,000,000 per year for each Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III school that the LEA commits to serve.
  6. If an SEA does not have sufficient school improvement funds to allocate to each LEA with a Tier I or Tier II school an amount sufficient to enable the school to implement fully and effectively the specified intervention throughout the period of availability, including any extension afforded through a waiver, the SEA may take into account the distribution of Tier I and Tier II schools among such LEAs in the State to ensure that Tier I and Tier II schools throughout the State can be served.
  7. An SEA must award funds to serve each Tier I and Tier II school that its LEAs commit to serve, and that the SEA determines its LEAs have the capacity to serve, prior to awarding funds to its LEAs to serve any Tier III schools. If an SEA has awarded school improvement funds to its LEAs for each Tier I and Tier II school that its LEAs commit to serve in accordance with these requirements, the SEA may then, consistent with section II.B.9 award remaining school improvement funds to its LEAs for the Tier III schools that its LEAs commit to serve.
  8. In awarding School Improvement Grants, an SEA must apportion its school improvement funds in order to make grants to LEAs, as applicable, that are renewable for the length of the period of availability for the funds, taking into account any waivers that may have been requested and received by the SEA or an individual LEA to extend the period of availability.

---

 Appendix C - Section II - Awarding School Improvement Grants to LEAs (cont)
 

---

9. (a) If not every Tier I school in a State is served with FY 2009 school improvement funds, an SEA must carry over 25 percent of its FY 2009 funds, combine those funds with FY 2010 school improvement funds, and award those funds to eligible LEAs consistent with these requirements. This requirement does not apply in a State that does not have sufficient school improvement funds to serve all the Tier I schools in the state.
  - (b) If each Tier I school in a State is served with FY 2009 school improvement funds, an SEA may reserve up to 25 percent of its FY 2009 allocation and award those funds in combination with its FY 2010 funds consistent with these requirements.
  10. In identifying Tier I and Tier II schools in a State for purposes of allocating funds appropriated for School Improvement Grants under section 1003(g) of the ESEA for any year subsequent to FY 2009, an SEA must exclude from consideration any school that was previously identified as a Tier I or Tier II school and in which an LEA is implementing one of the four interventions identified in these requirements using funds made available under section 1003(g) of the ESEA.
  11. An SEA that is participating in the 'differentiated accountability pilot' must ensure that its LEAs use school improvement funds available under section 1003(g) of the ESEA in a Tier I or Tier II school consistent with these requirements.
  12. Before submitting its application for a School Improvement Grant to the Department, the SEA must consult with its Committee of Practitioners established under section 1903(b) of the ESEA regarding the rules and policies contained therein and may consult with other stakeholders that have an interest in its application.
- C. Renewable for additional one-year periods.
- (a) If an SEA or an individual LEA requests and receives a waiver of the period of availability of school improvement funds, an SEA --
    - (i) Must renew the School Improvement Grant for each affected LEA for additional one-year periods commensurate with the period of availability if the LEA demonstrates that its Tier I and Tier II schools are meeting the requirements in section II.A.8 and that its Tier III schools are meeting the goals established by the LEA and approved by the SEA; and
    - (ii) May renew an LEA's School Improvement Grant if the SEA determines that the LEA is making progress toward meeting the requirements in section II.A.8 of the goals established by the LEA.
  - (b) If an SEA does not renew an LEA's School Improvement Grant because the LEA's participating schools are not meeting the requirements in section II.A.8 or the goals established by the LEA, the SEA may reallocate those funds to other eligible LEAs, consistent with these requirements.

D. State reservation for administration, evaluation, and technical assistance.

An SEA may reserve from the school improvement funds it receives under section 1003(g) of the ESEA in any given year no more than five percent for administration, evaluation, and technical assistance expenses. An SEA must describe in its application for a School Improvement Grant how the SEA will use these funds.

E. A State Whose School Improvement Grant Exceeds the Amount the State May Award to Eligible LEAs.

In some States in which a limited number of Title I schools are identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring, the SEA may be able to make School Improvement Grants, renewable for additional years commensurate with the period of availability of the funds, to each LEA with a Tier I, Tier II, or Tier III school without using the State's full allocation under section 1003(g) of the ESEA. An SEA in this situation may reserve no more than five percent of its FY 2009 allocation of school improvement funds for administration, evaluation, and technical assistance expenses under section 1003(g)(8) of the ESEA. The SEA may retain sufficient school improvement funds to serve, for succeeding years, each Tier I, II, and III school that generates funds for an eligible LEA. The Secretary may reallocate to other States any remaining school improvement funds from States with surplus funds.

Appendix C - Section III

III. Reporting and Evaluation:

A. Reporting metrics.

To inform and evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions identified in these requirements, the Secretary will collect data on the metrics in the following chart. The Department already collects most of these data through EDFacts and will collect data on two metrics through SFSF reporting. Accordingly, an SEA must only report the following new data with respect to school improvement funds:

1. A list of the LEAs, including their NCES identification numbers, that received a School Improvement Grant under section 1003(g) of the ESEA and the amount of the grant.
2. For each LEA that received a School Improvement Grant, a list of the schools that were served, their NCES identification numbers, and the amount of funds or value of services each school received.
3. For any Tier I or Tier II school, school-level data on the metrics designated on the following chart as 'SIG' (School Improvement Grant):

Metric	Source	Achievement Indicators	Leading Indicators
SCHOOL DATA			
Which intervention the school used (i.e., turnaround, restart, closure, or transformation)	NEW SIG		
AYP Status	EDFacts	X	
Which AYP targets the school met and missed	EDFacts	X	
School Improvement status	EDFacts	X	
Number of minutes within the school year	NEW SIG		X
STUDENT OUTCOME/ACADEMIC PROGRESS DATA			
Percentage of students at or above each proficiency level on State assessments in reading/language arts and mathematics (e.g., Proficient, Advanced), by grade and by student subgroup	EDFacts	X	
Student participation rate on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by student subgroup	EDFacts		X
Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the 'all students' group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup.	NEW SIG	X	
Percentage of limited English proficient students who attain English language proficiency	EDFacts	X	
Graduation rate	EDFacts	X	
Dropout rate	EDFacts		X
Student attendance rate	EDFacts		X
Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes	NEW SIG HS only		X
College enrollment rates	NEW SFSF Phase II HS only	X	
STUDENT CONNECTION AND SCHOOL CLIMATE			
Discipline Incidents	EDFacts		X
Truants	EDFacts		X
TALENT			
Distribution of teachers by performance level on LEA's teacher evaluation system	NEW SFSF Phase II		X
Teacher attendance rate	NEW SIG		X

4. An SEA must report these metrics for the school year prior to implementing the intervention, if the data are available, to serve as a baseline, and for each year thereafter for which the SEA allocates school improvement funds under section 1003(g) of the ESEA. With respect to a school that is closed, the SEA need report only the identity of the school and the intervention taken -- i.e., school closure.

B. Evaluation.

An LEA that receives a School Improvement Grant must participate in any evaluation of that grant conducted by the Secretary.

## Appendix D

In planning for which School Intervention Model a LEA/School will implement, the LEA/School will first need to work through the questions below. These questions are to be used to help the LEA/School determine what School Intervention Model would be best for the school. These questions can also be used to help an LEA determine if they have the capacity to serve one or more Tier I or Tier II schools.

## The Turnaround Model

1. How will the LEA select a new leader for the school, and what experience, training, and skills will the new leader be expected to possess?
2. How will the LEA assign effective teachers and leaders to the lowest achieving schools?
3. How will the LEA begin to develop a pipeline of effective teachers and leaders to work in turnaround schools?
4. How will staff replacement be executed/what is the process for determining which staff remains in the school and for selecting replacements?
5. How will the language in collective bargaining agreements be negotiated to ensure the most talented teachers and leaders remain in the school?
6. What supports will be provided to staff being assigned to other schools?
7. What are the budgetary implications of retaining surplus staff within the LEA if that is necessary?
8. What is the LEAs own capacity to execute and support a turnaround? What organizations are available to assist with the implementation of the turnaround model?
9. What changes in decision-making policies and mechanisms (including greater school-level flexibility in budgeting, staffing, and scheduling) must accompany the infusion of human capital?
10. What changes in operational practice must accompany the infusion of human capital, and how will these changes be brought about and sustained?

## The Restart Model

1. Are there qualified CSO, CMO, or EMOs willing to partner with the LEA to start a new school (or convert an existing school) in this location?
2. Will qualified community groups initiate a homegrown charter school? The LEA is best served by developing relationships with community groups to prepare them for operating charter schools.
3. Based on supply and capacity, which option is most likely to result in acceptable student growth for the student population to be served/homegrown charter school, CMO, or EMO?
4. How can statutory, policy, and collective bargaining language relevant to the school be negotiated to allow for closure of the school and restart?
5. How will support be provided to staff that are reassigned to other schools as a result of the restart?
6. What are the budgetary implications of retaining surplus staff within the LEA if that is necessary?
7. What is the LEAs own capacity to support the charter school with access to contractually specified district services and access to available funding?
8. How will the SEA assist with the restart?
9. What performance expectations will be contractually specified for the charter school, CMO, or EMO?
10. Is the LEA (or other authorizer) prepared to terminate the contract if performance expectations are not met?

## The Transformation Model

1. How will the LEA select a new leader for the school, and what experience, training, and skills will the new leader be expected to possess?
2. How will the LEA enable the new leader to make strategic staff replacements?
3. What is the LEAs own capacity to support the transformation, including the implementation of required, recommended, and diagnostically determined strategies?
4. What changes in decision making policies and mechanisms (including greater school-level flexibility in budgeting, staffing, and scheduling) must accompany the transformation?
5. What changes in operational practice must accompany the transformation, and how will these changes be brought about and sustained?

## School Closure Model

1. What are the metrics to identify schools to be closed?
2. What steps are in place to make certain closure decisions are based on tangible data and readily transparent to the local community?
3. How will the students and their families be supported by the LEA through the re-enrollment process?
4. Which higher-achieving schools have the capacity to receive students from the schools being considered for closure?
5. How will the receiving schools be staffed with quality staff to accommodate the increase in students?
6. How will current staff be reassigned/what is the process for determining which staff members are dismissed and which staff members are reassigned?
7. Does the statutory, policy, and collective bargaining context relevant to the school allow for removal of current staff?
8. What supports will be provided to recipient schools if current staff members are reassigned?
9. What safety and security considerations might be anticipated for students of the school to be closed and the receiving school(s)?
10. What are the budgetary implications of retaining surplus staff within the LEA if that is necessary?
11. How will the LEA track student progress in the recipient schools?
12. What is the impact of school closure to the schools neighborhood, enrollment area, or community?
13. How does school closure fit within the LEAs overall reform efforts?

---

**ASSURANCES**

---

The recipient hereby assures that:

By checking this box and saving the page, the applicant hereby certifies that he/she has read, understood and will comply with the assurances listed below.

1. For schools in School Improvement, I hereby certify that this plan was developed with the assistance of a LEA Coach and/or District Support and Coordination Team Member, as applicable, in collaboration with the School Improvement Team.
2. I hereby certify that this plan was designed to improve student achievement with input from all stakeholders.
3. I assure that the school-level personnel, including subgroup representatives responsible for implementation of the interventions outlined in this application, have collaborated in the completion of this application.
4. I hereby certify that this plan has all of the following components:
  - . Evidence of the use of a comprehensive needs assessment, which should include all necessary data analysis;
  - . An action plan to implement one of the School Intervention Models as outline by the final regulations (Appendix B of this application);
  - . Annual goals (implementation indicators);
  - . Scientifically based research methods, strategies, and activities that guide curriculum content, instruction, and assessment;
  - . Professional Development components aligned with assessed needs and School Intervention Model selected for implementation;
  - . Family and community involvement activities aligned with assessed needs and School Intervention Model selected for implementation;
  - . Evaluation strategies that include methods to measure progress of implementation;
  - . Coordination of fiscal resources and analysis of school budget (possible redirection of funds); and
  - . An action plan with timelines and specific activities for implementing the above criteria.
5. I certify that the LEA will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the US Department of Education (USED) final requirements as outlined for 1003 g funds;
6. I certify that the LEA will establish annual goals for student achievement on the Proficiency Assessment of Wyoming Students (PAWS) in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the USED final requirements as outlined for 1003 g funds in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds (approved by the Wyoming Department of Education (WDE)) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive school improvement funds;
7. I certify that if the LEA implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or educational management organization accountable for complying with the USED final requirements outlined for 1003 g funds;
8. I certify to report to the WDE the school-level data required under section III of the USED final requirements outline for 1003 g funds;
9. I further certify that the information contained in this assurance is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

The assurances were fully agreed to on this date:

---

Submit

[Instructions](#)

---

The application has been approved.

Assurances	7/12/2010
Consistency Check was run on:	8/27/2010
LEA Data Entry	
LEA Administrator submitted the application to WDE on:	8/27/2010
Grant Admin - Final Review completed on:	8/30/2010



Application History (Read Only)

[Instructions](#)

Status Change	User Id	Action Date
Final Application Review	Christine Steele	08-30-2010
Submitted to WDE	Mark Stock	08-27-2010

---

Page Review Status

[Instructions](#)

---

 Expand All

1003g School Improvement

Page Status

Open Page  
for editing

[1003g School Improvement](#)

Amendment Description

[Instructions](#)

1. Is this an amendment to an original application?

Yes

No

Please describe the reason for the Amendment in the space below. Clear out all information from prior Amendments.

Specify the date the amendment was created (mm/dd/yyyy):

Please describe what has changed. ([count] of 2000 maximum characters used)

