

How PLA Rankings are Determined

Assigning of rankings to identify (federally required) Persistently Lowest Achieving (PLA) Schools in Wyoming is determined using Proficiency Assessment of Wyoming Students (PAWS) results. Schools are ranked against other schools in Wyoming based on how they performed against the state average, both for the most current year of PAWS data and how they have been performing over the last three years on PAWS. The following is how these determinations are made by the Wyoming Department of Education.

School Academic Achievement and Progress Ranking of All Wyoming Schools

Determining “School Academic Achievement and Progress Ranking of All Wyoming Schools” relies upon ranking schools by a single measure. This single measure is the average of four component rankings, all based on PAWS data:

- 1) Academic Achievement ranking for Math
- 2) Academic Achievement ranking for Reading
- 3) Progress ranking for Math
- 4) Progress ranking for Reading

Academic Achievement rankings are based on the most recent year’s PAWS results, and Progress rankings represent the improvement a school has made based on the most recent three years’ PAWS results.

All four component rankings, then, rely on the ability to directly compare Wyoming schools’ annual PAWS performance. These comparisons must take into account the varying grade configurations served and the relative enrollment-by-grade makeup of each Wyoming school.

To illustrate the process of determining the four component rankings and the overall “School Academic Achievement and Progress Ranking” for each school, fictitious schools A and B will be considered. School A serves grades 7 and 8, and school B serves grades 6, 7, and 8.

PAWS Performance Comparison: Relative Proficiency Performance

Step 1

For these two schools, we first consider the number of students tested and the number tested proficient (proficient or advanced), by subject (**Reading for this example**), grade, and school. We then calculate the percent proficient as the number proficient divided by the number tested.

How PLA Rankings are Determined

| SCHOOL | Grade | Number Tested | Number Proficient | Percent Proficient |
|--------|-------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| A | 7th | 135 | 75 | 55.56% |
| | 8th | 65 | 36 | 55.38% |
| | TOTAL | 200 | 111 | 55.50% |
| B | 6th | 70 | 35 | 50.00% |
| | 7th | 40 | 28 | 70.00% |
| | 8th | 160 | 78 | 48.75% |
| | TOTAL | 270 | 141 | 52.22% |

At first glance, it would appear that we can compare the two schools by considering that school A had 55.50% of students test proficient while school B only had 52.22% of students test proficient. **This conclusion, however, does not take into account that PAWS proficiency percentages across different grades are not equivalent, and therefore not directly comparable.**

Step 2

To develop a measure directly comparable across grades and schools, the next step is to compare the percent proficient for each grade against the statewide percent proficient for that grade. For each grade served by a school, the difference between the school and state percent proficient values is calculated.

| SCHOOL | Grade | Number Tested | Number Proficient | Percent Proficient | Statewide Percent Proficient | Difference between School and Statewide Percent Proficient |
|--------|-------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| A | 7th | 135 | 75 | 55.56% | 60% | -4.44% |
| | 8th | 65 | 36 | 55.38% | 50% | 5.38% |
| | TOTAL | 200 | 111 | 55.50% | | |
| B | 6th | 70 | 35 | 50.00% | 45% | 5.00% |
| | 7th | 40 | 28 | 70.00% | 60% | 10.00% |
| | 8th | 160 | 78 | 48.75% | 50% | -1.25% |
| | TOTAL | 270 | 141 | 52.22% | | |

Step 3

The difference between school and statewide percent proficient value calculated in Step 2 is a direct comparison of PAWS performance for each grade served in a school with state performance at that grade. For instance, the above result for 7th grade in school A can be stated as, "The percentage of 7th grade students in School A testing proficient is 4.44% less than tested proficient in 7th grade across the state."

How PLA Rankings are Determined

These results, however, still do not allow us to make school to school comparisons. The fact that schools serving the same grades have varying enrollments between those grades must be accounted for. We need to know the percent of total number tested by grade at each school, which is calculated as the number tested in each grade divided by the total number of students tested in the school.

| SCHOOL | Grade | Number Tested | Number Proficient | Percent Proficient | Statewide Percent Proficient | Difference between School and Statewide Percent Proficient | Percent of Total Number Tested |
|--------|-------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| A | 7th | 135 | 75 | 55.56% | 60% | -4.44% | 67.50% |
| | 8th | 65 | 36 | 55.38% | 50% | 5.38% | 32.50% |
| | TOTAL | 200 | 111 | 55.50% | | | |
| B | 6th | 70 | 35 | 50.00% | 45% | 5.00% | 25.93% |
| | 7th | 40 | 28 | 70.00% | 60% | 10.00% | 14.81% |
| | 8th | 160 | 78 | 48.75% | 50% | -1.25% | 59.26% |
| | TOTAL | 270 | 141 | 52.22% | | | |

Step 4

At the end of this step, we will have arrived at a measure that allows for direct comparison of Wyoming schools' PAWS performance.

We have already determined how each grade in a school performed compared against the state average for the grade, and the percentage of students in each school enrolled and tested by grade. We now need to “weight” the performance comparison for each grade by the percentage of students in the corresponding grade.

In school B, for example, we can see that the 7th grade proficiency percentage exceeds the state average for the grade by 10% and that the 8th grade proficiency percentage trails the state average for the grade by only 1.25%. We also notice that 7th grade students only account for 14.81% of students tested in the school, while 8th grade students account for 59.26% of students tested. The performance of 8th grade students in the school clearly must carry more “weight” than the 7th grade performance. How can we combine this information to achieve our goal?

The answer is that we first multiply the difference between the school and statewide percent proficient by the percent of total number tested for each grade. These grade level contributions are then summed, providing the final school level metric, **Relative Proficiency Performance**. This is a school level comparison to a state average percent proficient that takes the grade-by-grade enrollment makeup of each school into account.

How PLA Rankings are Determined

| SCHOOL | Grade | Number Tested | Number Proficient | Percent Proficient | Statewide Percent Proficient | Difference between School and Statewide Percent Proficient | Percent of Total Number Tested | Relative Proficiency Performance |
|--------|-------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A | 7th | 135 | 75 | 55.56% | 60% | -4.44% | 67.50% | -3.00% |
| | 8th | 65 | 36 | 55.38% | 50% | 5.38% | 32.50% | 1.75% |
| | TOTAL | 200 | 111 | 55.50% | | | | -1.25% |
| B | 6th | 70 | 35 | 50.00% | 45% | 5.00% | 25.93% | 1.30% |
| | 7th | 40 | 28 | 70.00% | 60% | 10.00% | 14.81% | 1.48% |
| | 8th | 160 | 78 | 48.75% | 50% | -1.25% | 59.26% | -0.74% |
| | TOTAL | 270 | 141 | 52.22% | | | | 2.04% |

The Relative Proficiency Performance of -1.25% for school A is most clearly stated as, “The percentage of students testing proficient in School A trailed the state average by 1.25%.” The Relative Proficiency Performance of 2.04% can be expressed as, “The percentage of students testing proficient in School B surpassed the state average by 2.04%.”

School level Relative Proficiency Performance is the valid metric for school-to-school comparison of PAWS performance. As such, Relative Proficiency Performance values are used directly for assigning Academic Achievement Rankings to schools (in both math and reading) and for calculating the progress metric used in assigning Progress Rankings to schools (also in both math and reading).

Use of **Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient** values in this process is mentioned in the submission of this process to the U.S. Dept. of Education. For an explanation of the process including **Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient** values, please see Appendix A.

Academic Achievement Rankings

Calculation of **Relative Proficiency Performance** values for all Wyoming schools allows the following question to be answered, "How did this school's PAWS performance compare to statewide performance and to other Wyoming schools' performances?"

As mentioned above, Academic Achievement rankings for both math and reading are straightforward rankings of the Relative Proficiency Performance percentages calculated for each Wyoming school; the higher the percentage, the better the performance and ranking. School B, with a Relative Proficiency Performance of 2.04%, will have a better ranking than School A with a -1.25%.

Progress Trend Percentage

Progress Trend Percentage quantifies a three year trend of improvement or decline in Relative Proficiency Performance and is calculated as follows.

How PLA Rankings are Determined

Step 1

Relative Proficiency Performance values for math and reading are calculated for each school using the most recent three years of PAWS data. Suppose that for our example schools A and B that the Relative Proficiency Performance values calculated above are the 2008-09, Reading results. Relative Proficiency Performance values for 2007-08 and 2006-07 are calculated in the same manner. Multi-year results are provided in the following table.



| SCHOOL | School Year | Relative Proficiency Performance |
|--------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| A | 2006-07 | 1.70% |
| | 2007-08 | 2.10% |
| | 2008-09 | -1.25% |
| B | 2006-07 | -3.50% |
| | 2007-08 | 2.80% |
| | 2008-09 | 2.04% |

Step 2

Progress Trend Percentage is the slope of a trend line, which can be positive (improvement) or negative (decline), with the higher the slope the better the progress. Trend lines are often referred to as “best fit” lines, in this case illustrating a measure of the improvement or decline in a school’s PAWS performance as compared with state performance. The slope of the trend line is best illustrated visually.

These graphs show three years of Relative Proficiency Performance values along with the trend line and its calculated slope (Progress Trend Percentage) for schools A and B.

School A has a declining Progress Trend Percentage, of -1.48%, while School B has an increasing Progress Trend Percentage of 2.07%.



How PLA Rankings are Determined

Progress Rankings

Calculation of a three year **Progress Trend Percentage** for each school allows the following question to be answered, "How does this school's progress in PAWS performance over the last three years compare to other schools' progress?" For this purpose, "progress" refers to gaining or losing ground as compared with state performance averages.

Progress Rankings for both math and reading are straightforward rankings of Progress Trend Percentage values calculated for each Wyoming school; the higher the percentage, the better the improvement over time and ranking. School B, with a Progress Trend Percentage of 2.07%, will be ranked higher than School A with a value of -1.48%.

School Rankings

With Academic Achievement and Progress rankings now calculated, we circle back to determining a single ranking for each school.

Step 1

The following four component rankings are averaged:

- 1) Academic Achievement ranking for Math
- 2) Academic Achievement ranking for Reading
- 3) Progress ranking for Math
- 4) Progress ranking for Reading

For example, suppose Schools A and B are two of eighty schools statewide. In our examples, school B had better Academic Achievement for Reading and Progress for Reading, so will have better rankings in these two areas than school A. A rank of one is assigned to the school with the best performance in each of the four categories. The following table illustrates the four component rankings for the two schools in our example, along with the average of the four rankings for each school.

| | Ranking | |
|---|----------|----------|
| | School A | School B |
| 1) Academic Achievement ranking for Math | 17 | 19 |
| 2) Academic Achievement ranking for Reading | 49 | 27 |
| 3) Progress ranking for Math | 25 | 32 |
| 4) Progress ranking for Reading | 52 | 39 |
| AVERAGE RANKING: | 35.75 | 29.25 |

Step 2

A final ranking for each school, between one and eighty for the eighty schools in this example, is assigned to each school. The school with the lowest average ranking will have the best rank of one, and so forth. In our example, School B has an average ranking of 29.25, indicating better performance and a better final ranking than will be assigned to school A with an average ranking of 35.75.

How PLA Rankings are Determined

Appendix A

Use of **Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient** values in the determining schools' **Relative Proficiency Performance** is mentioned in the submission of this process to the U.S. Dept. of Education. The following are process steps for calculating and using **Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient** values.

Recall that Relative Proficiency Performance was just described as a school level comparison to a state average percent proficient that takes the grade-by-grade enrollment makeup of each school into account. This school-specific state average can be calculated, and is identified as the **Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient** for each school.

First, the statewide percent proficient for each grade is multiplied by the percent of total number tested in each grade. These are the grade level contributions, which are then summed to calculate the Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient specific to each school.

| SCHOOL | Grade | Number Tested | Number Proficient | Percent Proficient | Statewide Percent Proficient | Difference between School and Statewide Percent Proficient | Percent of Total Number Tested | Relative Proficiency Performance | Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient |
|--------|-------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| A | 7th | 135 | 75 | 55.56% | 60% | -4.44% | 67.50% | -3.00% | 40.50% |
| | 8th | 65 | 36 | 55.38% | 50% | 5.38% | 32.50% | 1.75% | 16.25% |
| | TOTAL | 200 | 111 | 55.50% | | | | -1.25% | 56.75% |
| B | 6th | 70 | 35 | 50.00% | 45% | 5.00% | 25.93% | 1.30% | 11.67% |
| | 7th | 40 | 28 | 70.00% | 60% | 10.00% | 14.81% | 1.48% | 8.89% |
| | 8th | 160 | 78 | 48.75% | 50% | -1.25% | 59.26% | -0.74% | 29.63% |
| | TOTAL | 270 | 141 | 52.22% | | | | 2.04% | 50.19% |

Subtracting the school-specific Weighted Average Statewide Percent Proficient from the school's percent proficient yields the Relative Proficiency Performance for a school, and is simply an alternate way to calculate this value. In the case of school B, subtracting the Weighted Average Statewide Percent proficient of 50.19% from the school's percent proficient of 52.22% yields an alternate way to arrive at the school's 2.04% Relative Proficiency Performance.