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## What Is The Nation's Report Card ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ ?

The Nation's Report Card ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ informs the public about the academic achievement of elementary and secondary students in the United States. Report cards communicate the findings of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), a continuing and nationally representative measure of achievement in various subjects over time.

Since 1969, NAEP assessments have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and other subjects. NAEP collects and reports information on student performance at the national and state levels, making the assessment an integral part of our nation's evaluation of the condition and progress of education. Only academic achievement data and related background information are collected. The privacy of individual students and their families is protected.

NAEP is a congressionally authorized project of the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) within the Institute of Education Sciences of the U.S. Department of Education. The Commissioner of Education Statistics is responsible for carrying out the NAEP project. The National Assessment Governing Board oversees and sets policy for NAEP.
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# A New Focus on Word Meaning 

Beginning in 2009, the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) integrated a measure of students' understanding of word meaning with the measurement of passage comprehension in the NAEP reading assessment. The decision to focus on students' understanding of word meaning emphasized the important role vocabulary plays in the process of reading comprehension. To understand the overall topic or theme, students need to integrate their knowledge of individual words—or a sense of these words—with the way the words are used in particular passages. For example, a reader may understand the meaning of "acute" in the context of mathematics to describe the angles of a triangle, but may not have encountered the word used to describe human emotions, as in "acute embarrassment." Having a sense of words that is sufficiently flexible helps readers extend their understanding of the word and understand its use in a new context.

Understanding word meaning has always been essential to reading comprehension. Whether reading the printed page or a computer screen, a strong sense of word meaning provides a basis for greater comprehension in an increasingly fast-paced world.

## How did students perform?

Students who<br>scored higher on NAEP<br>vocabulary questions also scored higher in reading comprehension.

NAEP assesses vocabulary in a way that aims to capture students' ability to use their understanding or sense of words to acquire meaning from the passages they read. Unlike traditional tests of vocabulary that ask students to write definitions of words in isolation, NAEP always assesses word meaning within the context of particular passages. Students are asked to demonstrate their understanding of words by recognizing what meaning the word contributes to the passage in which it appears.

## Introduction

This report presents results for student performance on the systematic measure of vocabulary included in the 2009 and 2011 NAEP reading assessments. While previous NAEP assessments had included some vocabulary questions, the new framework for the 2009 assessment provided criteria for developing vocabulary questions as well as prescribing the number of questions to be included in each comprehension section of the assessment. This systematic assessment of vocabulary allows for NAEP to more fully assess the impact of vocabulary knowledge on students' comprehension and makes it possible to report on students' vocabulary performance. Vocabulary questions are designed to assess how well students are able to use words to gain meaning from the passages they read. NAEP vocabulary questions assess whether readers know a word well enough to use it to comprehend the sentence or paragraph in which the word occurs.

Vocabulary results from the 2009 reading assessment are based on nationally representative samples of 116,600 fourth-graders, 103,400 eighth-graders, and 44,500 twelfth-graders. Results from the 2011 assessment are based on samples of 213,100 students at grade 4 and 168,200 students at grade 8 . The reading assessment was not administered at grade 12 in 2011.

## The NAEP Reading Framework

The National Assessment Governing Board oversees the development of NAEP frameworks that describe the specific knowledge and skills that should be assessed in each subject. The new reading framework, which guided the development of the 2009 and 2011 reading assessments, defines reading as an active, complex process that involves understanding text, developing and interpreting meaning from text, and using meaning as appropriate to type of text, purpose, and situation. The framework, citing the large body of research that supports the link between vocabulary and comprehension, recognizes vocabulary as fundamental to the active process of reading comprehension across all levels of schooling. As a component of the reading assessment, all vocabulary questions measure students' ability to apply word knowledge in order to develop and interpret meaning.

## EXPLORE ONLINE

The complete reading framework that guided the 2011 reading assessment is available at http://www.nagb.org/publications/frameworks/reading-2011-framework.pdf.

## The Assessment Design

Vocabulary questions appeared in two different types of sections of the reading assessment: comprehension sections and vocabulary sections. The sections differed in the length of the reading texts they included and in the number of vocabulary questions. The vocabulary questions in the comprehension sections are included within a larger set of questions and are based on longer passages. Examples of vocabulary questions from reading comprehension sections are available on the Web at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.gov/reading_2011/ voc_summary.asp.

There were a total of 40 vocabulary questions in the 2011 fourth-grade assessment, 56 in the eighth-grade assessment, and 47 in the 2009 twelfth-grade assessment. No one student responded to all of the vocabulary questions for a particular grade. For more information, see the Technical Notes section.

## VOCABULARY QUESTIONS WERE INCLUDED IN TWO TYPES OF SECTIONS

## Comprehension

Full-length passages containing up to:
$>800$ words at grade 4
> 1,000 words at grade 8
$>1,200$ words at grade 12
Approximately 10 multiple-choice and constructed-response questions, 2 of which were multiple-choice vocabulary questions

## Vocabulary

Shorter passages containing up to:
> 400 words at grade 4
> 500 words at grade 8
> 600 words at grade 12
Approximately 5 multiple-choice questions, all of which were vocabulary questions

## Reporting NAEP Vocabulary Results

NAEP vocabulary results are reported as the percentages of students who correctly answered vocabulary questions and as average scores on a 0-500 scale for grades 4, 8, and 12. While vocabulary results cannot be reported in terms of the NAEP achievement levels (Basic, Proficient, and Advanced), scores are reported to show patterns in results for students performing at lower (10th and 25th), middle (50th), and higher (75th and 90th) percentiles.

The national results presented in this report reflect the performance of students attending public schools, private schools, Bureau of Indian Education schools, and Department of Defense schools. Results for states and jurisdictions reflect the performance of students in public schools only and are compared to a subset of the nation that also includes only public school students.


## National Results

## How have fourth- and eighth-grade vocabulary scores changed since 2009?

NAEP vocabulary results are available for 2009 and 2011 at grades 4 and 8. As grade 12 was not assessed in 2011, results for twelfth-grade students are available for 2009 only.

The overall average vocabulary scores for fourth- and eighth-grade students in 2011 were not significantly different from 2009, but there were some changes in the scores for students performing at selected percentiles on the vocabulary scale (figure 1).

At grade 4, scores were lower in 2011 than in 2009 for higher-performing students at the 75th and 90th percentiles.
At grade 8, lower-performing students at the 10th percentile scored higher in 2011 than in 2009. Eighth-graders at the 75th and 90th percentiles scored lower in 2011 than in 2009

Figure 1. Average scores and percentile scores in NAEP vocabulary at grades 4 and 8: 2009 and 2011

*Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.

At grade 12, the overall average vocabulary score in 2009 was 296 and the percentile scores ranged from 239 for students at the 10th percentile to 350 for those performing at the 90th percentile (figure 2 ).


Figure 2. Average scores and percentile scores in NAEP vocabulary at grade 12: 2009


## How does vocabulary performance relate to reading comprehension?

Both the NAEP reading comprehension and vocabulary scores are reported on 0-500 scales, but because the two scales were developed independently, the results cannot be directly compared. It is possible, however, to look at the vocabulary scores in relation to the performance of students at the lower, middle, and upper quartiles in reading comprehension.

Students who performed well on the vocabulary questions also performed well in reading comprehension (figure 3). For example, fourth-grade students performing above the 75th percentile in reading comprehension in 2011 also had the highest average vocabulary score. Lower-performing fourth-graders at or below the 25th percentile in reading comprehension had the lowest average vocabulary score. Similar differences were found in the results for grade 8 in 2011 and for grade 12 in 2009.

Figure 3. Average scores in NAEP vocabulary at grades 4,8 , and 12 , by reading comprehension level: 2009 and 2011

Grade 4


Reading comprehension level
Average vocabulary score


Grade 12


NOTE: The results for grades 4 and 8 are from the 2011 reading assessment, and the results for grade 12 are from the 2009 assessment.

## How do lower- and higher-performing students differ demographically?



Among fourth-graders who scored below the 25th percentile on the vocabulary scale (i.e., below a score of 193) in 2011

- 33\% were White 25\% were Black 35\% were Hispanic
- 73\% were eligible for free/ reduced-price school lunch
- 24\% were English language learners

Among fourth-graders who scored above the 75th percentile on the vocabulary scale (i.e., above a score of 245) in 2011

- 72\% were White 7\% were Black 10\% were Hispanic
- 24\% were eligible for free/ reduced-price school lunch
- 2\% were English language learners


Among eighth-graders who scored below the 25th percentile on the vocabulary scale (i.e., below a score of 241) in 2011

Among eighth-graders who scored above the 75th percentile on the vocabulary scale (i.e., above a score of 291) in 2011

- 34\% were White 25\% were Black 33\% were Hispanic
- $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ were eligible for free/ reduced-price school lunch
- 74\% were White 6\% were Black 10\% were Hispanic
- 21\% were eligible for free/ reduced-price school lunch


Among twelfth-graders who scored below the 25th percentile on the vocabulary scale (i.e., below a score of 268) in 2009

- 40\% were White 26\% were Black 27\% were Hispanic
- 31\% reported at least one parent graduated from college

Among twelfth-graders who scored above the 75th percentile on the vocabulary scale (i.e., above a score of 327) in 2009

- 79\% were White 5\% were Black
7\% were Hispanic
- 70\% reported at least one parent graduated from college


## How do student groups differ in vocabulary performance?

As highlighted in the key findings below, average vocabulary scores for student groups sometimes varied by grade.


Family income

## Students

 withdisabilities

## English

language
learners

For each of the three grades, average vocabulary scores for White and Asian/Pacific Islander students were higher than the scores for Black, Hispanic, and American Indian/Alaska Native students.

Differences in average vocabulary scores between White and Asian/ Pacific Islander students varied by grade:

- At grade 4, there was no significant difference in vocabulary scores between White and Asian/Pacific Islander students in 2011.
- At grade 8, White students scored higher in vocabulary than Asian/Pacific Islander students in 2011.
- At grade 12, there was no significant difference in vocabulary scores between the two groups in 2009.

The White - Hispanic score gap in vocabulary narrowed from 2009 to 2011 at grade 8.

Female students scored higher on average than male students in NAEP vocabulary at grades 4 and 8 in 2011. At grade 12 in 2009, there was no significant difference in vocabulary scores between male and female students.

At both grades 4 and 8, the average vocabulary scores for students who were eligible for free or reduced-price school lunch (an indicator of low family income) were lower than the scores for students who were not eligible in 2011.

At all three grades, students with disabilities scored lower on average in vocabulary than students without disabilities.

At all three grades, average vocabulary scores were lower for English language learners than for non-English language learners.
Eighth-grade English language learners scored higher in vocabulary in 2011 than in 2009.

NOTE: Prior to 2011, data for Asian and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students were only available for a single combined Asian/Pacific Islander category. Results for the separate categories in 2011 are available in appendix tables A-1 and A-2.
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## State Results

Vocabulary results are available for 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Department of Defense schools in 2011 at grades 4 and 8, and for the 11 states that volunteered to participate in the 2009 state pilot program at grade 12. Vocabulary scores for both fourth- and eighth-graders in 18 states/jurisdictions were higher than the national averages in 2011 (figure 4). See appendix tables A-4 through A-6 for additional state results including results from 2009 for grades 4 and 8 .

Figure 4. Comparison of state/jurisdiction and national average scores in NAEP vocabulary at grades 4, 8, and 12: 2009 and 2011
Grade 4
Grade 8


Grade 12

${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The results for grades 4 and 8 are from the 2011 reading assessment, and the results for grade 12 are from the 2009 assessment.
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## How does the performance of female and male students on vocabulary questions compare at the state level?

Of the 52 states and jurisdictions that participated in the 2011 reading assessment, there were no significant differences in the average vocabulary scores for female and male students at both grades 4 and 8 in 30 states/jurisdictions. In three states (Florida, New Hampshire, and North Carolina), female students scored higher on average than male students in 2011 at both grades 4 and 8 .

Although not shown here, there were no significant gender gaps in vocabulary scores for any of the 11 states that participated in the 2009 twelfth-grade assessment.

| No significant gender gaps at both grades 4 and 8 in 2011 | 30 states/jurisdictions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alabama | New Mexico |
|  | Alaska | New York |
|  | Arizona | North Dakota |
|  | Connecticut | Ohio |
|  | Delaware | Oklahoma |
|  | Hawaii | Oregon |
|  | lowa | South Carolina |
|  | Kentucky | South Dakota |
|  | Maine | Tennessee |
|  | Maryland | Texas |
|  | Massachusetts | Vermont |
|  | Minnesota | West Virginia |
|  | Montana | Wisconsin |
|  | Nebraska | Wyoming |
|  | New Jersey | DoDEA' |

No significant gender gap at
grade 4, while female students
scored higher than male students
at grade 8 in 2011

| 9 states/Jurisdictions |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Arkansas | Michigan |
| California | Nevada |
| District of Columbia | Utah |
| Idaho | Washington |
| Illinois |  |

No significant gender gap at grade 8 in 2011, while female students scored higher than male students at grade 4

|  | 10 states |
| :--- | :--- |
| Colorado | Mississippi |
| Georgia | Missouri |
| Indiana | Pennsylvania |
| Kansas | Rhode Island |
| Louisiana | Virginia |

' Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

## EXPLORE ONLINE

See how states rank based on their average reading comprehension and vocabulary scores at http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_2011/voc_state.asp.
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## NAEP Vocabulary Questions

As described in the NAEP reading framework, vocabulary questions are both a measure of passage comprehension and a measure of students' understanding of specific words. All vocabulary questions ask about words as they are used in the context of passages that students read. Students are not asked to provide written definitions of words in isolation because the NAEP assessment measures reading comprehension. NAEP vocabulary takes into account that word meaning is not fixed, but depends on the context in which the word appears. In addition, the framework recognizes that a reader may not be able to provide a written definition of a word, but may be able to understand the word's meaning well enough so that passage comprehension is not impeded. On the other hand, a reader may be able to associate a word with a definition but not be able to apply that definition to building understanding of a particular context that uses the word.

All questions on the NAEP reading assessment measure one of three cognitive targets as specified in the framework: locate and recall, integrate and interpret, and critique and evaluate. All of the vocabulary questions are classified as integrate and interpret. In responding to a NAEP vocabulary question, students use their understanding of the word to interpret a part of the passage. Options other than the correct answer may provide another meaning of the word or may be an interpretation that correctly reflects passage content but does not reflect the meaning of the word. To choose the correct answer, students must recognize how the selected word contributes to the meaning in the passage they are reading. It is this intersection of word knowledge and passage comprehension that typifies NAEP vocabulary questions.

## Criteria for selecting vocabulary words

The reading framework specifies the characteristics of words appropriate for vocabulary questions and how those words should relate to the content of the passage. In general, words were selected to be characteristic of written language as opposed to words common to everyday speech. Another criterion for word selection was that the word could be used across a variety of content areas as opposed to technical words used only in specialized content. Words appropriate for vocabulary questions denote concepts, feelings, or actions that students may have knowledge about, although the vocabulary word denoting the concept, feeling, or action is likely not part of students' speaking vocabulary. The vocabulary questions measure students' ability to connect an appropriate meaning to the word in order to gain comprehension of the passage.

## Language criteria

- Characteristic of written language as opposed to conversational oral language
- Used across content areas, as opposed to technical terms specific to one content area
- Represent familiar concepts, even if the word itself may not be known


## Passage criteria

- Plays an important content-bearing role in all or part of the passage
- May be related to central idea, but does not name the central idea of the passage
- Meaning is not defined by the context



## Grade 4

The following sample questions are from a vocabulary section that was part of the 2011 fourth-grade reading assessment. This section included a short passage entitled, "Ducklings Come Home to Boston," about how the sculptor Nancy Schön came to create statues of ducklings for the Boston Public Garden. The section included five vocabulary questions about the passage, two of which are presented here.

On page 1 , the passage says that the duckling statues "were created by the sculptor Nancy Schön." This means that Ms. Schön

(A)made the duckling statues
(C) Iiked the duckling statues
(D) lined the duckling statues in a row

## Ducklings Come Home to Boston

by Pamela Waterman

What has eighteen legs, shines in the sun, and loves children? A set of eight bronze duckling statues with their mother, that's what! They are made for hugging, climbing on, and "feeding." They were created by the sculptor Nancy Schön (pronounced "shern"). She based them on the ducklings in the famous children's book Make Way for Ducklings.
The ducklings in the book hatched from the drawing pencil of author Robert McCloskey back in 1941. In the story, the ducklings followed their proud mother around the Public Garden in Boston, Massachusetts. They learned to "walk in a line, to come when they were called, and to keep a safe distance from bikes and scooters and other things with wheels." But the duckling statues started in a very different way almost fifty years later.

Ms. Schön, who had been making sculptures of people for years, noticed that children love to play with animal statues. At the same time, the six-year-old twin boys of an English friend of hers visited the Public Garden. They had read Make Way for Ducklings, and they were puzzled. "Mummy, where are the ducks?" they asked.

Ms. Schön's friend suggested that she bring the famous little birds to life. Mr. McCloskey himself was delighted with the idea. He encouraged the sculptor to start by copying his own drawings.
"Just to be different, I chose eight of the poses of the ducks that I liked best," explains Ms. Schön. She then lined them up behind Mrs. Mallard. She wanted to remind people how the ducklings in the book waddled from the Charles River, across busy Beacon Street, and right into the Public Garden.

Deciding how big the ducks should be was an important question. Mr. McCloskey himself came to the art studio to help. To get a better look, they dragged the clay models outside on a snowy February day. Just then a group of children at the preschool next door came out and stopped short in surprise.

## Incorrect selections

- Option B, chosen by 12 percent of fourth-graders, is a misinterpretation of the context in which the word occurs.
- Option C, chosen by 5 percent of fourth-graders, is also a misinterpretation.
- Option D, chosen by 7 percent of fourth-graders, presents correct information from the passage, but is not the meaning of the word "created."

"Come along, children. Follow me," says Mrs. Mallard.
Ms. Schön laughs as she remembers. "The children came running and screaming and started to pat and hug them. It was so exciting!" There was no doubt now-the ducklings were perfect. The bronze statues were ready to be made.

In October 1987, two large and sixteen small webbed feet lined up and came to stay in the Boston Public Garden. Mrs. Mallard stands more than three feet tall, and her children"Jack, then Kack, and then Lack, followed by Mack and Nack and Ouack and Pack and Quack"-trail proudly behind her, waddling on old rounded Boston cobblestones. Their bright eyes sparkle, inviting children of all ages to touch, hug, and play with them, just as Ms. Schön wanted.

On page 1 , the passage says that some boys were puzzled when they visited the Public Garden. This means the boys were
(A) trying to follow the ducks
(B) hoping to play games with the ducks
(C) surprised that there were so many ducks
(D) confused that there were no ducks
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## Incorrect selections

- Option A, chosen by 10 percent of fourth-graders, is a misinterpretation of the context in which the word occurs.
- Option B, chosen by 6 percent of fourth-graders, presents correct information from the passage, but is not the meaning of the word "puzzled."
- Option C, chosen by 32 percent of fourth-graders, presents a misinterpretation of the part of the passage where the word appears. modern times. The section included six vocabulary questions about the passage, two of which are presented here.

```
On page 1, the author says that mint syrup permeated the shaved ice. This means that the
mint syrup
```

caused the shaved ice to melt slightlyformed the shaved ice into clumps
C
spread all the way through the shaved icemade the shaved ice taste better

# Mint Snowball 

by Naomi Shihab Nye



My great-grandfather on my mother's side ran a drugstore in a small town in central Illinois. He sold pills and rubbing alcohol from behind the big cash
$>51 \%$ of eighth-graders used their knowledge of the word "permeated" to select the correct interpretation. register and creamy ice cream from the soda fountain. My mother remembers the counter's long polished sweep, its shining face. She twirled on the stools. Dreamy fans. Wide summer afternoons. Clink of nickels in anybody's hand. He sold milkshakes, cherry cokes, old fashioned sandwiches. What did an old fashioned sandwich look like? Dark wooden shelves. Silver spigots on chocolate dispensers.
My great-grandfather had one specialty: a Mint Snowball which he invented. Some people drove all the way in from Decatur just to taste it. First he stirred fresh mint leaves with sugar and secret ingredients in a small pot on the stove for a very long time. He concocted a flamboyant elixir of mint. Its scent clung to his fingers even after he washed his hands. Then he shaved ice into tiny particles and served it mounted in a glass dish. Permeated with mint syrup. Scoops of rich vanilla ice cream to each side. My mother took a bite of minty ice and ice cream mixed together. The Mint Snowball tasted like winter. She closed her eyes to see the Swiss village my great-grandfather's parents came from. Snow frosting the roofs. Glistening, dangling spokes of ice.

## Incorrect selections

- Option A, chosen by 18 percent of eighth-graders, is a misinterpretation of the context in which the word occurs.
- Option B, chosen by 6 percent of eighth-graders, is also a misinterpretation.
- Option D, chosen by 24 percent of eighth-graders, presents correct information from the passage, but is not the meaning of the word.

On page 2, the author says that her mother "thought she could replicate" the greatgrandfather's mint syrup. This means the author's mother thought she could

Before my great-grandfather died, he sold the recipe for the mint syrup to someone in town for one hundred dollars. This hurt my grandfather's feelings. My grandfather thought he should have inherited it to carry on the tradition. As far as the family knew, the person who bought the recipe never used it. At least not in public. My mother had watched my great-grandfather make the syrup so often she thought she could replicate it. But what did he have in those little unmarked bottles? She experimented. Once she came close. She wrote down what she did. Now she has lost the paper.

Perhaps the clue to my entire personality connects to the lost Mint Snowball. I have always felt out-of-step with my environment, disjointed in the modern world. The crisp flush of cities makes me weep. Strip centers, poodle grooming, and take-out Thai. I am angry over lost department stores, wistful for something I have never tasted or seen.
Although I know how to do everything one needs to know-change airplanes, find my exit off the interstate, charge gas, send a fax-there is something missing. Perhaps the stoop of my great-grandfather over the pan, the slow patient swish of his spoon. The spin of my mother on the high stool with her whole life in front of her, something fine and fragrant still to happen. When I breathe a handful of mint, even pathetic sprigs from my sunbaked Texas earth, I close my eyes. Little chips of ice on the tongue, their cool slide down. Can we follow the long river of the word "refreshment" back to its spring? Is there another land for me? Can I find any lasting solace in the color green?

## Incorrect selections

- Option A, chosen by 2 percent of eighth-graders, is a misinterpretation of the context in which the word occurs.
- Option C, chosen by 7 percent of eighth-graders, presents correct information related to the theme of the passage, but is not the meaning of the word.
- Option D, chosen by 2 percent of eighth-graders, presents a misinterpretation of the part of the passage where the word appears.


## Grade 12


#### Abstract

The following sample questions are from a vocabulary section that was part of the 2009 twelfth-grade reading assessment. This section included a short passage entitled, "Capitalizing on the Cognitive Niche," in which Bill Gates argues that humans must embrace the digital age. The section included five vocabulary questions about the passage, two of which are presented here.


On page 1, the author says that we can mitigate the challenges of the digital age. He is suggesting that we can
expand research studies of technological problems
look forward to many technological advances
C lessen the problems caused by technology

increase public awareness of technology

## $>50 \%$ of twelfth-grade students used their knowledge of the word "mitigate" to select the correct interpretation.

# Capitalizing on the "Cognitive Niche" 

by Bill Gates



A DNA plate used for sequencing and mapping the human genome, Rockville, Maryland, 2000.

College dropout and computer whiz kid, corporate executive and philanthropist, William H. Gates (1955- ) was born and raised in Seattle, Washington. His interest in computers, which began at the age of thirteen, led Gates to realize the potential of a standard operating platform for the computer era, and through the success of his company Microsoft, he became one of the world's richest men. Criticized for its monopolistic practices, Microsoft was sued by the United States government in the 1990's. In 2000, Gates established the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, which has become the world's largest philanthropy dedicated to improving health and education worldwide. The following essay was published in 1999.


#### Abstract

Human beings are not the biggest animals. We're not the strongest or fastest. We're not the sharpest in sight or smell. It's amazing how we survived against the many fierce creatures of nature. We survived and prospered because of our brains. We evolved to fill the cognitive niche. We learned how to use tools, to build shelter, to invent agriculture, to domesticate livestock, to develop civilization and culture, to cure and prevent disease. Our tools and technologies have helped us to shape the environment around us.

I'm an optimist. I believe in progress. I'd much rather be alive today than at any time in history-and not just because in an earlier age my skill set wouldn't have been as valuable and I'd have been a prime candidate for some beast's dinner. The tools of the Industrial Age extended the capabilities of our muscles. The tools of the digital age extend the capabilities of our minds. I'm even happier for my children, who will come of age in this new world.

By embracing the digital age, we can accelerate the positive effects and mitigate the challenges, such as privacy and have-vs.-have-not. If we sit back and wait for the digital age to come to us
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## Incorrect selections

- Option A, chosen by 22 percent of twelfth-graders, presents a misinterpretation of information from the essay.
- Option B, chosen by 22 percent of twelfth-graders, presents correct information from the essay that is not the meaning of the word.
- Option D, chosen by 6 percent of twelfth-graders, presents correct information from the essay that is not the meaning of the word.
on terms defined by others, we won't be able to do either. The Web lifestyle can increase citizen involvement in government. Many of the decisions to be made are political and social, not technical. These include how we ensure access for everyone and how we protect children. Citizens in every culture must engage on the social and political impact of digital technology to ensure that the new digital age reflects the society they want to create.

If we are reactive and let change overwhelm us or pass us by, we will perceive change negatively. If we are proactive, seek to understand the future now, and embrace change, the idea of the unexpected can be positive and uplifting. Astronomer Carl Sagan in his last book, Billions and Billions, said: "The prediction I can make with the highest confidence is that the most amazing discoveries will be the ones we are not today wise enough to foresee."

As tough and uncertain as the digital world makes it for business-it's evolve rapidly or die-we will all benefit. We're going to get improved products and services, more responsiveness to complaints, lower costs, and more choices. We're going to get better government and social services at substantially less expense.
This world is coming. A big part of it comes through businesses using a digital nervous system to radically improve their processes.

A digital nervous system can help business redefine itself and its role in the future, but energy or paralysis, success or failure, depends on business leaders. Only you can prepare your organization and make the investments necessary to capitalize on the rapidly dawning digital age.

Digital tools magnify the abilities that make us unique in the world: the ability to think, the ability to articulate our thoughts, the ability to work together to act on those thoughts. I strongly believe that if companies empower their employees to solve problems and give them potent tools to do this with, they will always be amazed at how much creativity and initiative will blossom forth.
> > 79\% of twelfth-grade students used their knowledge of the word "capitalize" to select the correct interpretation.

On page 2, the author talks about making the investments necessary to capitalize on the digital age. He is referring to
(A) locating new businesses in big cities
(B) spending more money on technology than on people

C gaining advantages by using technology
D hiring strong leaders to improve the company

From BUSINESS @ THE SPEED OF THOUGHT
by William Gates. Copyright © 1999 by William H.
Gates, III. Used by permission of Grand Central Publishing.

## Incorrect selections

- Option A, chosen by 5 percent of twelfth-graders, refers to the idea of a capital city.
- Option B, chosen by 9 percent of twelfth-graders, presents an idea that reflects information in the essay but is not the meaning of the word.
- Option D, chosen by 7 percent of twelfth-graders, presents a misinterpretation of the context in which the word appears.

> More examples of NAEP vocabulary questions from both the comprehension and vocabulary sections of the 2009 and 2011 reading assessments can be found in the NAEP Questions Tool at http://nces.ed.gov/ nationsreportcard/itmrlsx/.

## Results show students are able to understand a variety of words in context

The chart below shows only words from released comprehension and vocabulary sections and the proportion of students at each grade who understood how the words were used to convey meaning. As the assessment was administered at grade 12 in 2009 only, there are fewer words than at grades 4 and 8 for which results from two assessment years are available. Italicized words are from a section administered at both grades 8 and 12. Bolded words are those from sample questions presented in this report. The other words are from assessment passages and questions available at http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_2011/voc_summary.asp.

|  | 2009 and 2011 |  | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| 75\% or more of students recognized the meaning of these words | created <br> spread underestimate | anecdotes <br> edible <br> enticing <br> grimace <br> icons <br> motivate <br> replicate <br> specialty | anecdotes <br> capitalize <br> prospective <br> prospered <br> reimburse |
| Between 50\% and 74\% of students recognized the meaning of these words | breakthrough <br> cleared <br> clenched <br> gaze <br> models <br> outraged <br> poses <br> puzzled <br> sparkle <br> staggering <br> striking <br> suggested | concocted <br> embedded <br> Iaden <br> permeated <br> pressed <br> responsible <br> solace <br> tolerate <br> vast <br> wistful | articulate <br> mitigate <br> proactive <br> self-possessed |
| 49\% or less of students recognized the meaning of these words | barren <br> detected <br> eerie <br> flourish <br> prestigious | urbane | delusion urbane |

NOTE: Fewer words are listed for grade 12 than for grades 4 and 8 because the grade 12 assessment was conducted in one year only.

[^3]
## Technical Notes

## Assessment Design

Vocabulary questions were administered as part of the 2009 and 2011 reading assessments to nationally representative samples of students. In 2009, a total of twenty-eight comprehension sections and ten vocabulary sections were administered at grades 4,8 , and 12 . In 2011, nineteen comprehension sections and eight vocabulary sections were administered at grades 4 and 8 . A proportion of the comprehension sections and vocabulary sections are developed to be administered across two grades. For example, the assessment design at grade 8 includes four comprehension sections administered at both grades 4 and 8 , five sections administered at grade 8 only, and four sections administered at both grades 8 and 12. The assessment design for vocabulary sections includes two grade-specific sets and two cross-grade sets at each of the three grades. The NAEP reading assessment is administered every two years at grades 4 and 8 , and every four years at grade 12. In 2011, when grade 12 was not assessed, the sections common to grades 8 and 12 were administered only at grade 8 . The chart below presents the number of comprehension sections and vocabulary sections that were administered in 2009 and 2011.


When the assessment of meaning vocabulary along with other changes to the reading framework were first implemented as part of the 2009 assessment, special trend analyses were conducted to evaluate the impact of those changes on the comparability of scores from earlier assessment years. A summary of these special analyses and an overview of the differences between the previous framework and the 2009 framework are available on the Web at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/reading/trend_study.asp. Students participating in the 2009 reading assessment were randomly assigned to take the old (2007) assessment, the new (2009) assessment, or a specially designed mixed assessment that contained material from both the old and new assessments. Participation rates for the 2009 and 2011 reading assessments are available at http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_2009/participation.asp and http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_2011/participation.asp.

[^4]In 2009 at grades 4 and 8, approximately

- 33 percent of students took the reading assessment based on the old framework and did not respond to any vocabulary questions;
- 33 percent responded to two vocabulary questions from a new comprehension section;
- 30 percent responded to four vocabulary questions from two new comprehension sections; and
- 3 percent responded to 10-12 vocabulary questions from one new comprehension section and a section containing two new vocabulary sections.

Because state results were reported for the first time in 2009 at grade 12, the comparability of state results from previous years was not an issue so more students were assessed with the assessment developed under the new framework.

In 2009 at grade 12, approximately

- 15 percent of students took the reading assessment based on the old framework and did not respond to any vocabulary questions;
- 13 percent responded to two vocabulary questions from a new comprehension section;
- 62 percent responded to four vocabulary questions from two new comprehension sections; and
- 10 percent responded to 10-12 vocabulary questions from one new comprehension section and a section containing two new vocabulary sections.

In 2011, at grades 4 and 8, approximately 90 percent of students responded to four vocabulary questions (from two comprehension sections); and approximately 10 percent responded to 10-12 questions (from one comprehension section and one section containing two vocabulary sections).

## Reporting Results

NAEP reports results using widely accepted statistical standards; findings are reported based on a statistical significance level set at .05 with appropriate adjustments for multiple comparisons. Only those differences that are found to be statistically significant are discussed as higher or lower.

Comparisons over time or between groups are based on statistical tests that consider both the size of the difference and the standard errors of the two statistics being compared. Standard errors are margins of error, and estimates based on smaller groups are likely to have larger margins of error. The size of the standard errors may also be influenced by other factors such as how representative the assessed students are of the entire population. When an estimate has a large standard error, a numerical difference that seems large may not be statistically significant. Standard errors for the estimates presented in this report are available at http://nces.ed.gov/ nationsreportcard/naepdata/.

A score that is significantly higher or lower in comparison to an earlier assessment year is reliable evidence that student performance has changed. However, NAEP is not designed to identify the causes of these changes. Although comparisons are made in students' performance based on demographic characteristics, the results cannot be used to establish a cause-andeffect relationship between student characteristics and achievement. Many factors may influence student achievement, including educational policies and practices, available resources, and the demographic characteristics of the student body. Such factors may change over time and vary among student groups.

## Race/Ethnicity

Prior to 2011, student race/ethnicity was obtained from school records and reported for the following six mutually exclusive categories. Students identified with more than one racial/ ethnic group were classified as "other" and were included as part of the "unclassified" category, along with students who had a background other than the ones listed or whose race/ethnicity could not be determined.

## RACIAL/ETHNIC CATEGORIES PRIOR TO 2011

- White
- Asian/Pacific Islander
- Black
- American Indian/Alaska Native
- Hispanic
- Other or unclassified

In compliance with standards from the U.S. Office of Management and Budget for collecting and reporting data on race/ethnicity, additional information was collected in 2011. This allows results to be reported separately for Asian students, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students, and students identifying with two or more races. Beginning in 2011, all of the students participating in NAEP were identified as belonging in one of the following seven racial/ethnic categories.

## RACIAL/ETHNIC CATEGORIES BEGINNING IN 2011

- White
- Black
- American Indian/Alaska Native
- Hispanic
- Asian

As in earlier years, students identified as Hispanic were classified as Hispanic in 2011 even if they were also identified with another racial/ethnic group. Students identified with two or more of the other racial/ethnic groups (e.g., White and Black) would have been classified as "other" and reported as part of the "unclassified" category prior to 2011, and were classified as "two or more races" in 2011.

When comparing the 2011 results for racial/ethnic groups with results from 2009, the 2011 data for Asian and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students were combined into a single Asian/Pacific Islander category.

## National School Lunch Program

NAEP collects data on student eligibility for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) as an indicator of low family income. Under the guidelines of NSLP, children from families with incomes at or below 130 percent of the poverty level are eligible for free meals. Those from families with incomes between 130 and 185 percent of the poverty level are eligible for reduced-price meals. (For the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, for a family of four, 130 percent of the poverty level was $\$ 29,055$, and 185 percent was $\$ 41,348$.) Some schools provide free meals to all students regardless of individual eligibility, using their own funds to cover the costs of non-eligible students. Under special provisions of the National School Lunch Act intended to reduce the administrative burden of determining student eligibility every year, schools can be reimbursed based on eligibility data for a single base year. Because students' eligibility for free or reduced-price school lunch may be underreported at grade 12, the results are not included in this report but are available in the NAEP Data Explorer at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/naepdata/. For more information on NSLP, visit http://www.fns.usda.gov/cnd/lunch/.


Table A-1. Average scores and percentile scores in NAEP vocabulary at grade 4, by selected characteristics: 2009 and 2011

| Characteristic | Overall average score |  | Percentile scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 10th percentile |  | 25th percentile |  | 50th percentile |  | 75th percentile |  | 90th percentile |  |
|  | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 |
| All students | 219 | 218 | 166 | 167 | 193 | 193 | 221 | 220 | 247* | 245 | 269* | 266 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 230 | 229 | 182 | 183 | 206 | 207 | 231 | 231 | 255 | 254 | 275* | 273 |
| Black | 202 | 201 | 153 | 153 | 177 | 177 | 203 | 202 | 229 | 226 | 250* | 247 |
| Hispanic | 199 | 201 | 147 | 151 | 173 | 176 | 200 | 202 | 227 | 227 | 249 | 249 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 230 | 231 | 179 | 181 | 206 | 207 | 231 | 233 | 256 | 257 | 278 | 278 |
| Asian | - | 232 | - | 183 | - | 209 | - | 234 | - | 258 | - | 279 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | - | 210 | - | 159 | - | 187 | - | 212 | - | 236 | - | 258 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 207 | 202 | 154 | 151 | 179 | 176 | 208 | 203 | 235 | 229 | 259 | 251 |
| Two or more races | 225 | 224 | 174 | 175 | 200 | 200 | 228 | 226 | 252 | 250 | 273 | 270 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 218 | 217 | 164 | 164 | 192 | 192 | 220 | 220 | 246* | 245 | 269* | 266 |
| Female | 219 | 219 | 167 | 169 | 194 | 195 | 221 | 221 | 247 | 246 | 269 | 267 |
| Eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 202 | 202 | 151 | 153 | 177 | 178 | 204 | 204 | 229 | 228 | 251* | 249 |
| Not eligible | 232* | 233 | 185* | 188 | 208* | 211 | 233* | 235 | 256 | 257 | 276 | 276 |
| Type of school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 217 | 217 | 164 | 165 | 191 | 192 | 219 | 219 | 245* | 244 | 267* | 265 |
| Private | 232 | 233 | 185 | 188 | 209 | 212 | 234 | 235 | 257 | 257 | 277 | 276 |
| Catholic | 234 | 234 | 188 | 189 | 211 | 212 | 235 | 235 | 258 | 257 | 278 | 276 |
| Status as students with disabilities (SD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD | 187 | 185 | 132 | 131 | 157 | 155 | 186 | 184 | 217 | 214 | 243 | 240 |
| Not SD | 222 | 222 | 172 | 174 | 197 | 198 | 224 | 224 | 249* | 248 | 270* | 268 |
| Status as English language learners (ELL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 178 | 182 | 131 | 135 | 153 | 158 | 179 | 182 | 204 | 206 | 226 | 226 |
| Not ELL | 223 | 222 | 172 | 174 | 198 | 199 | 224 | 224 | 249* | 248 | 270* | 268 |
| Score gaps ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White - Black | 27 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 29 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 26 |
| White - Hispanic | 30 | 29 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 |
| Female - Male | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Not eligible - Eligible | 29 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 32 | 33 | 29 | 30 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 27 |

- Not available. Prior to 2011, data for Asian and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students were only available for a single combined Asian/Pacific Islander category.
\# Rounds to zero.
* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
${ }^{1}$ The score gaps for each category are calculated based on the differences between the unrounded scores for the first student group minus the unrounded scores for the second student group.
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Private schools include Catholic, other religious, and nonsectarian private schools. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2011 Reading Assessments.

Table A-2. Average scores and percentile scores in NAEP vocabulary at grade 8, by selected characteristics: 2009 and 2011

| Characteristic | Overall average score |  | Percentile scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 10th percentile |  | 25th percentile |  | 50th percentile |  | 75th percentile |  | 90th percentile |  |
|  | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 |
| All students | 265 | 265 | 214* | 216 | 241 | 241 | 267 | 267 | 292* | 291 | 314* | 311 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 276 | 276 | 231 | 232 | 254 | 255 | 278 | 278 | 300 | 299 | 320* | 318 |
| Black | 247 | 247 | 199 | 203 | 223 | 225 | 248 | 248 | 272 | 270 | 292 | 289 |
| Hispanic | 246 | 249 | 194* | 201 | 221* | 226 | 249 | 250 | 273 | 273 | 294 | 293 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 272 | 271 | 221 | 222 | 247 | 249 | 274 | 274 | 298 | 297 | 319 | 318 |
| Asian | - | 273 | - | 224 | - | 250 | - | 275 | - | 299 | - | 319 |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | - | 250 | - | 203 | - | 226 | - | 253 | - | 275 | - | 296 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 249 | 252 | 202 | 206 | 225 | 230 | 250 | 253 | 275 | 276 | 294 | 295 |
| Two or more races | 266 | 273 | 220 | 228 | 243 | 250 | 268 | 274 | 292 | 297 | 312 | 317 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 263 | 264 | 211* | 214 | 238 | 240 | 265 | 265 | 290 | 290 | 311 | 310 |
| Female | 268 | 267 | 217 | 219 | 243 | 243 | 270 | 268 | 294* | 292 | 316* | 313 |
| Eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 248 | 249 | 198* | 203 | 224* | 227 | 250 | 251 | 274 | 273 | 295 | 293 |
| Not eligible | 275* | 277 | 230* | 233 | 253* | 256 | 277 | 279 | 300 | 300 | 319 | 319 |
| Type of school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 263 | 263 | 212* | 215 | 238 | 240 | 265 | 265 | 290 | 289 | 311* | 310 |
| Private | 286 | 285 | 244 | 243 | 264 | 264 | 287 | 286 | 309 | 307 | 328 | 325 |
| Catholic | 282 | 285 | 241 | 243 | 261 | 264 | 283 | 286 | 304 | 306 | 323 | 325 |
| Status as students with disabilities (SD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD | 230 | 231 | 178* | 182 | 202 | 205 | 230 | 231 | 258 | 256 | 281 | 279 |
| Not SD | 269 | 269 | 221* | 223 | 245 | 246 | 270 | 270 | 294 | 293 | 315* | 313 |
| Status as English language learners (ELL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 213* | 219 | 166 | 175 | 188* | 196 | 213* | 219 | 239 | 241 | 260 | 260 |
| Not ELL | 268 | 268 | 219* | 221 | 244 | 245 | 269 | 269 | 294 | 292 | 315* | 312 |
| Score gaps ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White - Black | 30 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 29 |
| White - Hispanic | 30* | 28 | 37* | 31 | 33* | 29 | 29 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 |
| Female - Male | 5 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Not eligible - Eligible | 28 | 28 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 26 |

[^5]Table A-3. Average scores and percentile scores in NAEP vocabulary at grade 12, by selected characteristics: 2009

| Characteristic | Overall average score | Percentile scores |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10th percentile | 25th percentile | 50th percentile | 75th percentile | 90th percentile |
| All students | 296 | 239 | 268 | 298 | 327 | 350 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 307 | 254 | 281 | 309 | 335 | 357 |
| Black | 272 | 220 | 246 | 273 | 300 | 322 |
| Hispanic | 276 | 223 | 249 | 277 | 304 | 327 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 304 | 246 | 275 | 307 | 334 | 357 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 281 | 230 | 259 | 284 | 307 | 328 |
| Two or more races | 310 | 260 | 282 | 309 | 340 | 361 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 296 | 236 | 266 | 298 | 327 | 351 |
| Female | 297 | 242 | 269 | 298 | 326 | 350 |
| Highest level of parental education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did not finish high school | 274 | 222 | 248 | 274 | 302 | 325 |
| Graduated from high school | 280 | 226 | 252 | 281 | 309 | 333 |
| Some education after high school | 294 | 242 | 268 | 295 | 321 | 343 |
| Graduated from college | 309 | 255 | 283 | 311 | 338 | 360 |
| Status as students with disabilities (SD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD | 262 | 201 | 230 | 263 | 295 | 322 |
| Not SD | 299 | 244 | 271 | 300 | 328 | 352 |
| Status as English language learners (ELL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 240 | 193 | 217 | 243 | 266 | 286 |
| Not ELL | 298 | 242 | 269 | 299 | 327 | 351 |
| Score gaps ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White - Black | 35 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 35 |
| White - Hispanic | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 30 |
| Female - Male | 1 | 5 | 2 | \# | -1 | -2 |

\# Rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ The score gaps for each category are calculated based on the differences between the unrounded scores for the first student group minus the unrounded scores for the second student group.
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Private schools include Catholic, other religious, and nonsectarian private schools. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Table A-4. Average scores in NAEP vocabulary for public school students at grade 4, by selected characteristics and state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2011

| State/jurisdiction | All students |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | White |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | Asian/ Pacific Islander |  | American Indian/ Alaska Native |  |
|  | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 217 | 217 | 229 | 228 | 202 | 200 | 198 | 200 | 229 | 230 | 209 | 203 |
| Alabama | 216 | 217 | 225 | 227 | 200 | 200 | 194 | 199 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 210 | 207 | 223 | 221 | 203 | 203 | 208 | 208 | 200 | 197 | 188 | 180 |
| Arizona | 209 | 211 | 225 | 226 | 207 | 203 | 195 | 198 | $\ddagger$ | 226 | 196 | 188 |
| Arkansas | 217* | 213 | 227 | 222 | 195 | 192 | 193 | 195 | $\ddagger$ | 213 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| California | 205 | 208 | 227 | 228 | 200 | 207 | 189 | 193 | 227 | 232 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Colorado | 225 | 222 | 238 | 236 | 215 | 206 | 198 | 197 | 238 | 231 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Connecticut | 225 | 223 | 235 | 235 | 208 | 198 | 195 | 198 | 234 | 236 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Delaware | 226 | 221 | 236 | 231 | 214 | 209 | 211 | 209 | $\ddagger$ | 236 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Florida | 223 | 221 | 232 | 235 | 207 | 204 | 216 | 213 | 238 | 234 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Georgia | 217 | 218 | 229 | 230 | 204 | 203 | 200 | 211 | $\ddagger$ | 233 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Hawaii | 205 | 208 | 217 | 221 | $\ddagger$ | 207 | 205 | 205 | 204 | 206 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Idaho | 220 | 221 | 225 | 226 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 196 | 201 | $\ddagger$ | 224 | + | $\ddagger$ |
| Illinois | 215 | 215 | 231 | 230 | 192 | 190 | 191 | 195 | 246 | 230 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Indiana | 222* | 217 | 227 | 223 | 210* | 195 | 190 | 198 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| lowa | 223* | 219 | 228 | 224 | 198 | 193 | 196 | 196 | $\ddagger$ | 224 | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kansas | 226 | 224 | 232 | 230 | 209 | 203 | 209 | 206 | $\ddagger$ | 227 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kentucky | 222 | 222 | 224 | 224 | 204 | 207 | 204 | 214 | $\ddagger$ | 242 | t | $\ddagger$ |
| Louisiana | 205 | 206 | 220 | 220 | 192 | 190 | $\ddagger$ | 201 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 220 | 219 | 221 | 220 | $\ddagger$ | 189 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 209 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 223 | 226 | 235 | 239 | 208 | 207 | 206 | 217 | 240 | 246 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Massachusetts | 232 | 233 | 239 | 240 | 214 | 205 | 207 | 209 | 238 | 239 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Michigan | 214 | 215 | 222 | 222 | 187 | 186 | 197 | 198 | 226 | 232 |  | $\ddagger$ |
| Minnesota | 221 | 221 | 229 | 230 | 192 | 193 | 189 | 196 | 213 | 216 | 197 | 196 |
| Mississippi | 212 | 208 | 227 | 221 | 199 | 194 | $\ddagger$ | 199 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 222 | 219 | 227 | 225 | 202 | 197 | 208 | 204 | $\ddagger$ | 228 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 225 | 223 | 228 | 227 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 214 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 204 | 197 |
| Nebraska | 220 | 222 | 226 | 229 | 200 | 197 | 199 | 202 | $\ddagger$ | 230 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nevada | 208 | 210 | 219 | 224 | 198 | 201 | 196 | 197 | 215 | 219 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Hampshire | 227 | 227 | 228 | 228 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 214 | 211 | $\ddagger$ | 230 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 224 | 224 | 233 | 234 | 206 | 209 | 202 | 204 | 245 | 240 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 205 | 202 | 224 | 222 | $\ddagger$ | 200 | 197 | 194 | $\ddagger$ | 219 | 188 | 185 |
| New York | 219 | 216 | 230 | 227 | 204 | 202 | 202 | 199 | 227 | 226 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| North Carolina | 220 | 217 | 231 | 230 | 206 | 200 | 198 | 198 | 236 | 231 | 205 | 196 |
| North Dakota | 228 | 227 | 230 | 230 | $\ddagger$ | 214 | $\ddagger$ | 212 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 211 | 207 |
| Ohio | 222 | 221 | 228 | 227 | 201 | 198 | 207 | 198 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oklahoma | 219 | 218 | 224 | 223 | 200 | 201 | 203 | 206 | $\ddagger$ | 228 | 219 | 218 |
| Oregon | 220 | 218 | 226 | 226 | $\ddagger$ | 203 | 196 | 194 | 225 | 232 | 213 | 215 |
| Pennsylvania | 220* | 225 | 226* | 232 | 194 | 199 | 204 | 199 | 234 | 237 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Rhode Island | 219 | 217 | 228 | 225 | 206 | 200 | 196 | 198 | 216 | 226 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Carolina | 212 | 211 | 225 | 224 | 194 | 194 | 193 | 201 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Dakota | 225 | 218 | 229 | 223 | $\ddagger$ | 199 | $\ddagger$ | 202 | $\ddagger$ | ${ }^{+}$ | 204 | 193 |
| Tennessee | 217 | 214 | 226 | 221 | 193 | 196 | 195 | 192 | $\ddagger$ | 232 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 220 | 216 | 235 | 233 | 216 | 208 | 209 | 206 | 248 | 246 | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Utah | 218 | 220 | 225 | 226 | + | $\ddagger$ | 187 | 193 | 212 | 216 | $\ddagger$ | 187 |
| Vermont | 224 | 223 | 225 | 224 | $\ddagger$ | 201 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 228 | 225 | 237 | 237 | 209 | 205 | 217* | 203 | 238 | 228 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Washington | 218 | 217 | 227 | 227 | 202 | 203 | 196 | 191 | 214 | 218 | 210 | 202 |
| West Virginia | 215 | 215 | 216 | 217 | 200 | 196 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 217 | 219 | 225 | 226 | 185 | 195 | 195 | 194 | 214 | 216 | + | $\ddagger$ |
| Wyoming | 220 | 219 | 222 | 222 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 203 | 205 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 191 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 198 | 194 | 248 | 244 | 194 | 188 | 192 | 192 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 229 | 229 | 236 | 234 | 218 | 219 | 219 | 224 | 229 | 229 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |

See notes at end of table.

Table A-4. Average scores in NAEP vocabulary for public school students at grade 4, by selected characteristics and state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2011-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | Gender |  |  |  | Eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Eligible |  | Not eligible |  |
|  | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 217 | 216 | 218 | 218 | 202 | 202 | 232 | 233 |
| Alabama | 214 | 216 | 217 | 218 | 203 | 206 | 230 | 232 |
| Alaska | 208 | 206 | 212 | 209 | 194 | 191 | 224 | 222 |
| Arizona | 208 | 209 | 210 | 212 | 195 | 198 | 225 | 228 |
| Arkansas | 215 | 212 | 219 | 214 | 203 | 202 | 237 | 231 |
| California | 207 | 208 | 204 | 208 | 189 | 193 | 225 | 228 |
| Colorado | 224 | 219 | 226 | 224 | 201 | 202 | 240 | 239 |
| Connecticut | 224 | 221 | 226 | 225 | 202 | 201 | 235 | 236 |
| Delaware | 224 | 220 | 227 | 222 | 213 | 209 | 235 | 233 |
| Florida | 222 | 219 | 225 | 223 | 212 | 210 | 236 | 239 |
| Georgia | 216 | 214 | 217 | 221 | 205 | 205 | 232 | 233 |
| Hawaii | 203 | 205 | 207 | 211 | 193 | 196 | 215 | 220 |
| Idaho | 220 | 220 | 220 | 222 | 205 | 209 | 232 | 233 |
| Illinois | 213 | 214 | 218 | 216 | 194 | 196 | 234 | 233 |
| Indiana | 221* | 215 | 224 | 220 | 209 | 205 | 232 | 230 |
| lowa | 221 | 218 | 226 | 221 | 207 | 203 | 233 | 231 |
| Kansas | 225 | 222 | 227 | 226 | 213 | 209 | 239 | 239 |
| Kentucky | 222 | 223 | 222 | 221 | 211 | 211 | 233 | 236 |
| Louisiana | 202 | 203 | 209 | 209 | 197 | 197 | 226 | 226 |
| Maine | 218 | 217 | 223 | 220 | 209 | 207 | 228 | 229 |
| Maryland | 222 | 224 | 224 | 227 | 205 | 208 | 234 | 238 |
| Massachusetts | 230 | 232 | 234 | 234 | 211 | 211 | 242 | 244 |
| Michigan | 213 | 214 | 215 | 215 | 199 | 199 | 226 | 227 |
| Minnesota | 222 | 220 | 221 | 222 | 199 | 202 | 232 | 233 |
| Mississippi | 210 | 206 | 215 | 211 | 204 | 200 | 231 | 230 |
| Missouri | 220 | 216 | 225 | 222 | 208 | 205 | 233 | 233 |
| Montana | 224 | 221 | 226 | 225 | 213 | 212 | 234 | 232 |
| Nebraska | 219 | 220 | 220 | 223 | 204 | 205 | 230 | 234 |
| Nevada | 207 | 209 | 208 | 210 | 197 | 197 | 215* | 226 |
| New Hampshire | 227 | 224 | 228 | 230 | 210 | 212 | 232 | 232 |
| New Jersey | 224 | 224 | 223 | 224 | 202 | 205 | 233 | 235 |
| New Mexico | 203 | 202 | 206 | 201 | 194 | 193 | 225 | 223 |
| New York | 218 | 215 | 221 | 217 | 207 | 204 | 232 | 230 |
| North Carolina | 218 | 215 | 222 | 220 | 204 | 203 | 234 | 234 |
| North Dakota | 227 | 226 | 229 | 228 | 218 | 216 | 232 | 233 |
| Ohio | 222 | 220 | 222 | 221 | 204 | 207 | 233 | 233 |
| Oklahoma | 217 | 216 | 221 | 219 | 207 | 209 | 232 | 231 |
| Oregon | 219 | 217 | 221 | 220 | 203 | 204 | 234 | 235 |
| Pennsylvania | 220 | 222 | 220* | 228 | 203 | 207 | 230* | 237 |
| Rhode Island | 217 | 214 | 222 | 220 | 203 | 202 | 231 | 229 |
| South Carolina | 215 | 210 | 210 | 213 | 198 | 199 | 230 | 228 |
| South Dakota | 224 | 216 | 226 | 219 | 211 | 205 | 233 | 227 |
| Tennessee | 214 | 213 | 219 | 215 | 204 | 202 | 229 | 231 |
| Texas | 219 | 217 | 221 | 216 | 209 | 205 | 236 | 235 |
| Utah | 219 | 220 | 217 | 220 | 201 | 205 | 227 | 229 |
| Vermont | 222 | 221 | 226 | 224 | 212 | 209 | 230 | 231 |
| Virginia | 227 | 223 | 229 | 228 | 209 | 203 | 237 | 237 |
| Washington | 217 | 215 | 220 | 218 | 203 | 199 | 231 | 232 |
| West Virginia | 214 | 215 | 216 | 216 | 204 | 203 | 229 | 229 |
| Wisconsin | 218 | 219 | 217 | 220 | 197 | 202 | 230 | 231 |
| Wyoming | 218 | 217 | 221 | 220 | 208 | 208 | 226 | 226 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 198 | 191 | 198 | 198 | 190 | 185 | 219 | 221 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 228 | 227 | 230 | 231 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
'Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Results are not shown separately for students
whose race/ethnicity was two or more races and for students whose eligibility status for free/reduced-price school lunch was not available.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2011 Reading
Assessments.

Table A-5. Average scores in NAEP vocabulary for public school students at grade 8, by selected characteristics and state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2011

| State/jurisdiction | All students |  | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | White |  | Black |  | Hispanic |  | Asian/ <br> Pacific Islander |  | American Indian/ Alaska Native |  |
|  | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 263 | 263 | 275 | 274 | 246 | 246 | 245 | 247 | 270 | 271 | 251 | 252 |
| Alabama | 258 | 260 | 268 | 270 | 241 | 244 | $\ddagger$ | 244 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 263 | 263 | 274 | 276 | $\ddagger$ | 250 | 265 | 259 | 257 | 256 | 239 | 238 |
| Arizona | 257 | 259 | 275 | 274 | 251 | 246 | 241 | 246 | $\ddagger$ | 268 | 241 | 242 |
| Arkansas | 256 | 257 | 266 | 267 | 231 | 231 | 239 | 245 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| California | 253 | 254 | 272 | 272 | 243 | 245 | 240 | 242 | 265 | 269 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Colorado | 267 | 270 | 279 | 281 | 253 | 256 | 244 | 248 | 270 | 278 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Connecticut | 275 | 275 | 284 | 287 | 245 | 250 | 248 | 244 | $\ddagger$ | 284 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Delaware | 261 | 264 | 270 | 273 | 249 | 251 | 250 | 252 | $\ddagger$ | 279 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Florida | 265 | 264 | 274 | 275 | 250 | 248 | 259 | 257 | 277 | 266 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Georgia | 262 | 264 | 272 | 275 | 249 | 252 | 254 | 255 | $\ddagger$ | 277 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Hawaii | 251 | 253 | 263 | 270 | $\ddagger$ | 253 | 242 | 245 | 249 | 250 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Idaho | 268 | 270 | 273 | 274 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 238* | 251 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Illinois | 265 | 264 | 277 | 275 | 241 | 243 | 250 | 251 | 285 | 278 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Indiana | 265 | 265 | 269 | 272 | 248 | 244 | 249 | 244 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| lowa | 266 | 266 | 270 | 270 | 238 | 243 | 243 | 245 | $\ddagger$ | 264 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kansas | 268 | 269 | 275 | 276 | 245 | 248 | 245 | 250 | $\ddagger$ | 264 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Kentucky | 264 | 267 | 267 | 270 | 241 | 246 | 254 | 253 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Louisiana | 255 | 251 | 269 | 262 | 237 | 237 | $\ddagger$ | 241 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 266 | 270 | 267 | 271 | $\ddagger$ | 243 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 266 | 269 | 278 | 282 | 249 | 252 | 254 | 257 | 282 | 286 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Massachusetts | 272 | 276 | 279 | 283 | 248 | 257 | 243 | 245 | 280 | 284 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Michigan | 262 | 265 | 269 | 271 | 240 | 242 | 236 | 251 | $\ddagger$ | 272 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Minnesota | 269 | 271 | 274 | 276 | 245 | 245 | 242 | 252 | 251 | 260 | 252 | 258 |
| Mississippi | 253 | 253 | 272 | 269 | 235 | 238 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 267 | 266 | 272 | 270 | 240 | 243 | $\ddagger$ | 252 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 276 | 274 | 279 | 277 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 260 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 247 | 254 |
| Nebraska | 268 | 270 | 274 | 275 | 244 | 249 | 245 | 249 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nevada | 254 | 257 | 267 | 272 | 241 | 250 | 241 | 242 | 263 | 262 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Hampshire | 278* | 271 | 279* | 272 | + | + | + | 250 | $\ddagger$ | 269 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 274 | 272 | 284 | 283 | 248 | 252 | 256 | 252 | 291 | 283 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 254 | 255 | 279 | 273 | $\ddagger$ | 247 | 244 | 248 | $\ddagger$ | 268 | 235 | 243 |
| New York | 264 | 261 | 279 | 274 | 244 | 245 | 241 | 242 | 270 | 267 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| North Carolina | 262 | 265 | 274 | 277 | 244 | 244 | 249 | 253 | 264 | 275 | 235 | 247 |
| North Dakota | 275 | 273 | 278 | 276 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 247 | 249 |
| Ohio | 271 | 267 | 276 | 274 | 246 | 242 | 252 | 248 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Oklahoma | 264 | 261 | 268 | 268 | 249 | 247 | 245 | 249 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 268* | 254 |
| Oregon | 271 | 267 | 277 | 273 | $\ddagger$ | 246 | 246 | 247 | 281 | 262 | $\ddagger$ | 254 |
| Pennsylvania | 271* | 266 | 276 | 274 | 251 | 240 | 248 | 248 | 281 | 275 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Rhode Island | 260 | 259 | 268 | 267 | 238 | 241 | 241 | 241 | $\ddagger$ | 255 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Carolina | 260 | 262 | 270 | 273 | 245 | 244 | 250 | 251 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| South Dakota | 273 | 272 | 277 | 276 | $+$ | 253 | $\ddagger$ | 256 | $\ddagger$ |  | 247 | 247 |
| Tennessee | 265 | 262 | 275* | 269 | 240 | 240 | + | 252 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 262 | 263 | 282 | 281 | 257 | 252 | 246* | 252 | 282 | 284 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Utah | 269 | 272 | 273 | 278 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 247 | 246 | $\ddagger$ | 260 | $\ddagger$ | 249 |
| Vermont | 274 | 272 | 274 | 273 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 268 | 268 | 277 | 276 | 253 | 254 | 250 | 253 | 263 | 275 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Washington | 267 | 267 | 275 | 274 | 247 | 251 | 241 | 244 | 270 | 271 | 245 | 255 |
| West Virginia | 257 | 257 | 258 | 258 | 246 | 247 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 266 | 269 | 272 | 276 | 240 | 238 | 246 | 244 | 253 | 264 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wyoming | 272 | 266 | 275 | 269 | + | $\ddagger$ | 254 | 251 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | + | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 240 | 240 | $\ddagger$ | 287 | 238 | 237 | 237 | 233 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 275 | 275 | 281 | 281 | 262 | 263 | 272 | 267 | 274 | 271 | $+$ | $\ddagger$ |

See notes at end of table.

Table A-5. Average scores in NAEP vocabulary for public school students at grade 8, by selected characteristics and state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2011-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | Gender |  |  |  | Eligibility for free/reduced-price school lunch |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Eligible |  | Not eligible |  |
|  | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 | 2009 | 2011 |
| Nation (public) | 261 | 262 | 266 | 265 | 248 | 249 | 275 | 277 |
| Alabama | 254 | 258 | 262 | 261 | 245 | 249 | 271 | 272 |
| Alaska | 261 | 260 | 265 | 266 | 248 | 246 | 272 | 275 |
| Arizona | 257 | 257 | 258 | 261 | 240 | 246 | 273 | 274 |
| Arkansas | 255 | 254 | 258 | 260 | 245 | 246 | 270 | 272 |
| California | 250 | 251 | 255 | 258 | 240 | 242 | 267 | 269 |
| Colorado | 265 | 270 | 270 | 271 | 249 | 250 | 277* | 283 |
| Connecticut | 271 | 274 | 279 | 276 | 251 | 251 | 283 | 287 |
| Delaware | 259 | 261 | 264 | 266 | 248 | 253 | 269 | 272 |
| Florida | 262 | 261 | 267 | 267 | 253 | 253 | 276 | 277 |
| Georgia | 258 | 262 | 266 | 266 | 250 | 254 | 273 | 278 |
| Hawaii | 247 | 250 | 255 | 256 | 241 | 241 | 257 | 263 |
| Idaho | 263 | 267 | 272 | 272 | 254 | 259 | 275 | 279 |
| Illinois | 262 | 261 | 269 | 266 | 247 | 248 | 277 | 278 |
| Indiana | 265 | 264 | 266 | 267 | 253 | 252 | 272 | 276 |
| lowa | 264 | 265 | 269 | 267 | 253 | 251 | 273 | 275 |
| Kansas | 268 | 267 | 268 | 271 | 251 | 254 | 280 | 281 |
| Kentucky | 263 | 268 | 265 | 266 | 254 | 256 | 273 | 279 |
| Louisiana | 252 | 250 | 256 | 252 | 244 | 243 | 272 | 264 |
| Maine | 262 | 268 | 271 | 273 | 256 | 258 | 272 | 278 |
| Maryland | 265 | 268 | 267 | 269 | 250 | 250 | 273 | 278 |
| Massachusetts | 271 | 274 | 274 | 278 | 251 | 255 | 281 | 285 |
| Michigan | 258 | 263 | 267 | 267 | 247 | 252 | 272 | 275 |
| Minnesota | 266 | 270 | 271 | 272 | 249 | 253 | 276 | 279 |
| Mississippi | 252 | 251 | 254 | 255 | 239 | 244 | 279 | 273 |
| Missouri | 265 | 264 | 269 | 267 | 251 | 253 | 276 | 275 |
| Montana | 273 | 272 | 278 | 277 | 264 | 264 | 281 | 281 |
| Nebraska | 264 | 268 | 271 | 271 | 251 | 254 | 277 | 280 |
| Nevada | 251 | 254 | 258 | 260 | 244 | 245 | 260* | 268 |
| New Hampshire | 274* | 268 | 282* | 273 | 264* | 255 | 282* | 275 |
| New Jersey | 272 | 271 | 277 | 274 | 253 | 251 | 281 | 281 |
| New Mexico | 254 | 253 | 255 | 256 | 241 | 246 | 277 | 270 |
| New York | 263 | 260 | 266 | 262 | 248 | 248 | 279 | 274 |
| North Carolina | 257 | 261 | 267 | 269 | 248 | 250 | 273* | 280 |
| North Dakota | 273 | 270 | 277 | 276 | 263 | 260 | 280 | 279 |
| Ohio | 270 | 265 | 272 | 269 | 256 | 252 | 279 | 279 |
| Oklahoma | 264 | 260 | 264 | 262 | 255 | 253 | 272 | 271 |
| Oregon | 266 | 265 | 276 | 268 | 254 | 252 | 283 | 281 |
| Pennsylvania | 270* | 265 | 272* | 267 | 254 | 249 | 280 | 277 |
| Rhode Island | 257 | 257 | 263 | 262 | 244 | 244 | 269 | 270 |
| South Carolina | 257 | 259 | 263 | 264 | 249 | 249 | 271 | 275 |
| South Dakota | 271 | 270 | 276 | 274 | 260 | 259 | 279 | 278 |
| Tennessee | 262 | 261 | 268 | 264 | 250 | 250 | 277 | 275 |
| Texas | 261 | 264 | 264 | 261 | 247 | 250 | 279 | 280 |
| Utah | 267 | 268 | 271 | 275 | 253 | 255 | 274 | 281 |
| Vermont | 269 | 269 | 278 | 276 | 260 | 258 | 279 | 280 |
| Virginia | 264 | 267 | 271 | 269 | 250 | 249 | 275 | 277 |
| Washington | 265 | 265 | 269 | 269 | 251 | 252 | 277 | 277 |
| West Virginia | 254 | 254 | 260 | 260 | 249 | 248 | 266 | 265 |
| Wisconsin | 264 | 267 | 269 | 271 | 249 | 249 | 274 | 279 |
| Wyoming | 270 | 264 | 274 | 269 | 260 | 255 | 277 | 272 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 239 | 236 | 241 | 243 | 235 | 233 | 252 | 256 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 273 | 274 | 277 | 277 | + | $\ddagger$ | $+$ | $\ddagger$ |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

* Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Results are not shown separately for students whose
race/ethnicity was two or more races and for students whose eligibility status for free/reduced-price school lunch was not available.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2011 Reading Assessments.

Table A-6. Average scores in NAEP vocabulary for public school students at grade 12, by selected characteristics and state/jurisdiction: 2009

| State/jurisdiction | All students | Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  | Gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian/ <br> Pacific Islander | American Indian/ Alaska Native | Male | Female |
| Nation (public) | 294 | 305 | 271 | 275 | 304 | 280 | 294 | 295 |
| Arkansas | 283 | 294 | 251 | 263 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 282 | 284 |
| Connecticut | 300 | 310 | 271 | 274 | 303 | $\ddagger$ | 298 | 302 |
| Florida | 290 | 299 | 273 | 281 | 305 | $\ddagger$ | 289 | 291 |
| Idaho | 300 | 304 | $\ddagger$ | 273 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 298 | 303 |
| Illinois | 297 | 307 | 272 | 275 | 318 | $\ddagger$ | 298 | 297 |
| lowa | 296 | 298 | 270 | 273 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 296 | 297 |
| Massachusetts | 306 | 312 | 282 | 270 | 314 | $\ddagger$ | 306 | 306 |
| New Hampshire | 307 | 307 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 301 | 312 |
| New Jersey | 296 | 309 | 266 | 275 | 315 | $\ddagger$ | 296 | 297 |
| South Dakota | 303 | 306 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 280 | 301 | 305 |
| West Virginia | 291 | 291 | 276 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | 288 | 294 |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Results are not shown separately for students whose race/ethnicity was two or more races. Eleven states participated in the assessment at the state level and met the reporting criteria.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),
2009 Reading Assessment.
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[^4]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2011 Reading Assessments.

[^5]:    - Not available. Prior to 2011, data for Asian and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students were only available for a single combined Asian/Pacific Islander category.
    * Significantly different ( $p<.05$ ) from 2011.
    ${ }^{1}$ The score gaps for each category are calculated based on the differences between the unrounded scores for the first student group minus the unrounded scores for the second student group.
    NOTE: Black includes African American, Hispanic includes Latino, and Pacific Islander includes Native Hawaiian. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin. Private schools include Catholic, other religious, and nonsectarian private schools. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
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