

# The Wyoming Growth Model: An Introduction

#### **Deb Lindsey and Leslie Zimmerschied**

Assessment and Finance and Data Divisions





### Overview

- What is growth? Why are we doing this?
- How do we measure growth for students and groups?
- What have we learned so far?
- What will be available this fall?



# **WAEA Indicators**

- Elementary and Middle
  - Achievement
  - Growth



- Equity
- High School
  - Achievement
  - Equity
  - College Readiness



Wyoming School Rating System



# What is growth?

- PAWS shows how each student is achieving relative to state standards
  - Is John proficient in 6<sup>th</sup> grade mathematics? What percent of his peers are proficient?
- Growth measures change in an individual student's performance over time, using scale scores from one year to the next
  - How much did John improve in mathematics from 5<sup>th</sup> grade to 6<sup>th</sup> grade relative to his academic peers?



# Why measure growth?

- Measures progress for students at all performance levels
  - A student can achieve at a low level but still improve relative to his academic peers
  - Another could achieve well but not improve much from year to year
  - Considered to be more fair in school accountability systems
- Provides evidence of improvement even among those with low achievement
- Gives high achieving students and schools something to strive for beyond proficiency



### **Change in Normative Status**

Grade 4

Grade 5



If a student went from scoring better than 16% of all students in grade 4 to scoring better than 50% of students in grade 5, would this be evidence that growth had occurred?



# We might miss this if we only focus on movement across the proficiency bar

Grade 4

Grade 5





If the red line marks the cutpoint for proficiency each year, this is a student who was below proficiency each year. But there are clearly indications of great progress being made, and normative comparisons help to show this.

### Growth Models Have Shown...

- Growth not correlated with how close a student is to proficient
- Normative growth gaps narrowing among student groups
- Most low-achieving students not growing fast enough to catch up
- Many proficient students not keeping up
- Many high-achieving schools show low growth and many low-achieving schools show high growth

# **Growth Terms**

- 1. Student Growth Percentiles (SGP) –a normative measure. It compares students with other like-performing students across the state. A SGP produces a relative percentile score (such as 70<sup>th</sup> percentile) that tells the student that s/he scored better than 69 percent of students who had scores like him in the previous year in the state. Median Growth Percentiles (MGPs) are used to summarize SGPs across classes, grades, and schools.
- 2. Adequate Student Growth Percentiles (AGP) a criterion-referenced measure relative to proficiency. It measures how far away from proficiency a student is and answers: "how much growth would a student have to make to reach proficiency in three years or by the end of 8<sup>th</sup> grade. A student can make 70<sup>th</sup> percentile growth and still not meet AGP goals.



### **Growth to grade 7: Example 1**





### **Growth to grade 7: Three students**



WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIO

### **Growth to grade 7: Example 2**





# **Rules of thumb**

- Typical student growth percentiles are between about 40 and 60
- Students or groups outside this range has higher or lower than typical growth
- Differences of fewer than 10 SGP points are likely not educationally meaningful



# **Key Concepts**

- Growth is *distinct from achievement* 
  - A student can achieve at a low level but grow quickly, and vice versa
- Each student is compared only to their <u>statewide academic</u> <u>peers</u>, not to all students statewide
  - Others with a similar test score history
  - All students can potentially grow at the 1<sup>st</sup> or 99<sup>th</sup> percentile
- Growth is *subject-, grade-, and year-specific* 
  - Different academic peer groups for each subject, grade, and year
  - Therefore, the same change in scaled scores can yield different student growth percentiles
- The percentile is calculated on the <u>change in achievement</u>, not the absolute level
  - Differs from more familiar norm-referenced measures



# Median student growth percentile

Last name	SGP
Lennon	6
McCartney	12
Starr	21
Harrison	32
Jagger	34
Richards	47
Crosby	55
Stills	61
Nash	63
Young	74
Joplin	81
Hendrix	88
Jones	95

Imagine that the list of students to the left are all the students in your 6<sup>th</sup> grade class. Note that they are sorted from lowest to highest SGP.

The point where 50% of students have a higher SGP and 50% have a lower SGP is the median.

#### Median SGP for the 6<sup>th</sup> grade class

The Median Growth Percentile (MGP) is used in the Wyoming school rating system under the Wyoming Accountability in Education Act (WAEA), Enrolled Act 65.



# Using median student growth percentiles: growth by achievement for schools





#### New insights: Growth vs. achievement

Grades 4, 5, 6 mathematics - All elementary schools in one district



EPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

# Is Growth Good Enough?

- The <u>adequacy</u> of an SGP depends on a student's proficiency status.
  - If a student was below proficiency the year before, was there enough growth to get the student above proficiency within 3 years or by 8<sup>th</sup> grade, whichever comes first?
- AGPs are used in the Wyoming school rating system in the Equity indicator



# **Growth Model Possibilities**

- Measuring growth allows for a reframed focus for teachers and school improvement teams
- Conversations can be about possibilities rather than failures
- Focus can shift to all students to grow rather than just "bubble students"
- Growth can be used to inform instruction
- Growth can be leveraged to close gaps (Equity Indicator)
- Growth can identify good practices that are working before students get to proficiency
- More accessible by general public so the possibility to engage parents in solutions is greater



### Privacy, Security, Confidentiality

### We take our moral and legal responsibility to protect student privacy and ensure data security and confidentiality seriously.



# Confidentiality

- The obligation of a person with access to another individual's personally identifiable information not to share it without consent.
  - Some adults, such as teachers and some department staff, have access to student-level data to inform instruction or to inform and implement policy. It is important that those who have the authority to access these data take seriously their responsibility to adhere to state and federal law by not sharing data with others who do not have permission.



# Security

- The policies and practices implemented at the state, district, and school levels to ensure that data are kept safe from corruption and that access is limited and appropriate.
  - As we become increasingly effective at using data, constantly focusing on ensuring that those data are secure through state policy and protocols and through practice at the state, district, and school levels will be imperative. Trust in how the state handles data is imperative to continued success in using data to improve system performance and student achievement.



### **Student Level Data**

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

							IOMING ED
Hello, Leslie Zimmerschied!			<u>Loqout</u>	Find Fusion Administrator	Site Map	Help About Fu	usion <u>Conta</u>
Home Directory Dat Data Reporting Home Report Series	a Collection Data Reporting Communities	Applications	Admin	ART			
Data Reporting » Assessment Confide	ntial				hom Do I A	sk? SEARCH	
Data Reporting Links	Confidential Assessment Reports						
Assessment Assessment Confidential	PAWS Results - Student Level (2006-07)						
Finance	PAWS Results - Student Level (2007-08)						
CTE Approved Courses State Report Cards	PAWS Results - Student Level (2008-09)						
Student to Teacher Ratio College Readiness Reports	PAWS Results - Student Level (2009-10)						
School Citizenship and Discipline	PAWS Results - Student Level (2010-11)						
Statistical Report Series #2 Fiscal Validation Report	PAWS Results - Student Level (2011-12)						
	PAWS Results for AYP - Student Level (2005-06)						
My Links	PAWS Results for AYP - Student Level (2006-07)						
URL	PAWS Results for AYP - Student Level (2007-08)						
Forms Inventory - WDE684- Enrollment Data	PAWS Results for AYP - Student Level (2008-09)						
Applications - State Report Manager	PAWS Results for AYP - Student Level (2010-11)						
	PAWS Results for AYP - Student Level (2011-12)						
	Student Level Assessment Results (2012-13), Grad	es 3 to 8, PAWS and	PAWS-ALT				



## **Potential MGP Reports**



MGP Analysis For Sample Elementary During School Year 2012-13 All Students

Sample Elementary									
Grade	Combined Reading and Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	N Count					
ALL	41.5	42.0	40.0	59					
04	47.5	43.0	53.0	18					
05	32.5	32.5	34.0	22					
06	52.5	52.0	59.0	19					

Percent Met AGP Analysis For Sample Elementary								
Grade	Combined Reading and Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	N Count				
ALL	48.3	49.2	47.5	59				
04	61.1	61.1	61.1	18				
05	34.1	31.8	36.4	22				
06	52.6	57.9	47.4	19				



### **Potential Median AGP Reports**



MAGP Analysis For Sample Elementary During School Year 2012-13

All Students

Sample Elementary								
Grade	Combined Reading and Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	N Count				
ALL	30.0	24.0	36.0	100				
04	27.0	25.5	28.0	34				
05	34.5	24.0	37.0	40				
06	34.5	22.5	42.5	26				

Percent Met AGP Analysis For Sample Elementary								
Grade	Combined Reading and Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	N Count				
ALL	64.0	66.0	62.0	100				
)4	64.7	64.7	64.7	34				
05	53.8	57.5	50.0	40				
06	78.8	80.8	76.9	26				



### **WAEA School Performance Reports**



Wyoming Department of Education Richard Crandall, Director 2012-13 School Performance Report For schools serving grades 3 through 8

School:	Sample Elementary	Schools in V
Principal:	Thomas Moran	their pattern
Enrollment: Grades:	372 K-6	

This School is in the MEETING EXPECTATIONS Performance Level.

Schools in Wyoming may fall within one of four performance levels based on their pattern of performance on three indicators: Achievement, Growth, and Equity. The four performance levels are: EXCEEDING EXPECTATIONS MEETING EXPECTATIONS PARTIALLY MEETING EXPECTATIONS NOT MEETING EXPECTATIONS

Indiastor	Coore	Catagony	N. Count	Description
Indicator	Score	Category	N-Count	Description
Achievement	89.1 %	Exceeding Targets	84	Achievement is the percent proficient or above on state tests in reading, mathematics, science, and writing.
Total Growth	53.5 %	Meeting Targets	84	Growth measures how much students improved on the state test in reading and math compared to other students who started at the same level during the reported school year.
Equity	33.3 %	Below Targets	84	Equity measures the percent of students with below proficient scores during the prior school year with growth that indicates they are on track to become proficient within three years or the end of grade eight.
Participation Rate	100.0 %		84	Expected participation rate on all tests used for accountability is 95% or higher. Not meeting the expected participation rate results in a drop in a school's performance level.

Three categories of performance have been identified on each indicator.

The three categories are: Exceeding Targets, Meeting Targets, Not Meeting Targets

ACHIEVEMENT: Percent of Students Proficient and Above on the Proficiency Assessment for Wyoming Students (PAWS).

	Sa			
All	Reading Mathematics Science N Court			
89.1%	89.0 %	95.9 %	70.6 %	145
92.7%	89.1 %	96.4 %	%	55
85.0%	86.3 %	98.0 %	70.6 %	51
92.3%	92.3 %	92.3 %	%	39



### **WAEA School Performance Reports**



Wyoming Department of Education Richard Crandall, Director 2012-13 School Performance Report For schools serving grades 3 through 8

	Sa			
Grade	All	Reading	Mathematics	N-Count
ALL	53.5	48.5	56	84
04	48	46	54	49
05	61	64	61	35

EQUITY: Percent of Students who were Below Proficient in the Prior Year in reading and/or mathematics that were ON Track\* for Becoming Proficient within Three Years or by the End of Grade 8.

	Sa			
Grade	All	Reading	Mathematics	N-Count
ALL	33.3 %	50.0 %	16.7 %	6
04	40.0 %	60.0 %	20.0 %	5
05	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	1



### What to do with this?

- With this information, teachers are able to:
  - determine whether students are making progress and in what content areas
  - differentiate instruction based on the progress of each student
  - create student growth trajectories to targets
  - identify and support at-risk students as well as those who need additional challenges



# What to do with this?

- Principals are able to:
  - make evidence based decisions regarding the extent to which a teacher has met or exceeded the statistical expectation for a student's achievement
  - better assign students to teachers
  - evaluate and support teachers in their improvement and professional growth



# What to do with this?

- State policymakers are able to:
  - identify the teacher training programs that are best preparing teachers for the classroom
  - ascertain the effectiveness of particular districts and schools in meeting the needs of various subgroups of students
  - determine where growth is occurring even when aggregate achievement figures are below targeted levels



### Questions?

Deb Lindsey Administrator, Assessment Division

- 307-777-8753
- <u>Deb.Lindsey@wyo.gov</u>

Leslie Zimmerschied Data Governance Coordinator, Finance and Data Division

- 307-777-8751
- <u>Leslie.Zimmerschied@wyo.</u> <u>gov</u>

